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#### Abstract

The choice of parameters that guarantee the convergence of modulus-based accelerated overrelaxation (abbreviated as MAOR) iterative method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems is analysed. The result we obtained is a generalization of that when the linear complementarity problem is a special case of horizonal linear complementarity problem. Numerical experiments further demonstrate the theoretical analysis.
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## 1 Introduction

Given two $n \times n$ real matrices $A, B$, an $n$ dimentional real column vector $q$, the horizonal linear complementarity problem (abbreviated as " $\operatorname{HLCP}(A, B, q)$ ") is to find vectors $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z-B w=q, \quad z \geq 0, \quad w \geq 0, \quad z^{T} w=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that the inequality here is componentwise, $z^{T}$ is the transpose of vector $z$. Obviously, when $B$ is the identity matrix, problem (1)will be reduced to the linear complementarity problem, which is denoted as $\operatorname{LCP}(A, q)$.

Complementarity problems come from many real problems in scientific computation, such as contact problems, obstacle problems, free boundary problems for journal bearings, the Nash equilibrium point of a bimatrix game, financial pricing problems and so on; details can be found in reference [1]. To solve horizonal linear complementarity problems, the frequently used methods are interior point method ${ }^{[2]}$, reduction to LCP ${ }^{[3]}$, projected splitting method ${ }^{[4]}$ and so on.

In 2010, the author of [5] proposed the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method to solve linear complementarity problems. The good performance of this method in numerical computation contracted lots of scholars' research, which promotes this type of method to be developed well and have wide applications. For example, the modulus-based type methods to solve linear complementarity problems ${ }^{[6-17]}$; the modulus-based type methods to solve nonlinear complementarity problems ${ }^{[18-27]}$ and the modulus-based methods to solve implicit complementarity problems ${ }^{[28-33]}$ and so on. In 2019, scholars applied the modulus-based matrix splitting iterative method to solve horizonal linear complementarity problems ${ }^{[34]}$, and obtained the convergence theory when the system matrices $A, B$ are positive definite and $H_{+}$-matrices. In practical numerical computations, the modulus-based accelerated over-relaxation iterative method is frequently used, which refers several parameters to chose. Improper iterative parameters may cause the divergence of the iteration sequence. So it is necessary to discuss the range of parameters that guarantee the convergence.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: the necessary notations, definitions and conclusions, and the description of modulus-based accelerated over-relaxation method are presented in section 2; the choice of parameters which guarantee the convergence of the iterative method is discussed in section 3 ; in section 4 , some numerical examples are given to demonstrate the theoretical analysis; finally, we give some conclusions.

## 2 The MAOR iterative method

Firstly, the necessary notations, definitions and conclusions will be given, and all of them can be found in [1].

For two given matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the notation $A \geq B(A>B)$ means for arbitrary $(i, j), 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$, there holds $a_{i j} \geq b_{i j}\left(a_{i j}>b_{i j}\right)$; specially, if $B$ is the null matrix, then we call $A$ is a nonnegative (positive) matrix. A positive diagonal matrix is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries. Here, we denote $\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|\right)$ as the absolute value matrix of $A, D_{A},-L_{A}$ and $-U_{A}$ are the diagonal, strictly upper triangular and strictly lower triangular parts of $A$, respectively. If $A$ is nonsingular with all the non-diagonal entries being non-positive, and $A^{-1} \geq O$, then we call $A$ is an $M$-matrix. If $\langle A\rangle=\left(\langle a\rangle_{i j}\right)$, the comparison matrix of $A$, is an $M$-matrix, where

$$
\langle a\rangle_{i i}=\left|a_{i i}\right|, \quad\langle a\rangle_{i j}=-\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, j \neq i
$$

then $A$ is called an $H$-matrix. Specially, an $H$-matrix with positive diagonal entries is an $H_{+}{ }^{-}$ matrix.

For $A=M-N$, if $M$ is nonsingular, we call $A=M-N$ is a splitting of $A$. Furthermore, if $\langle A\rangle=\langle M\rangle-|N|$, then we call the splitting $A=M-N$ is an $H$-compatible splitting of $A$; if $\langle M\rangle-|N|$ is an $M$-matrix, then $A=M-N$ is an $H$-splitting of $A$. Obviously, an $H$-compatible matrix of an $H_{+}$-matrix is an $H$-splitting, but not vise versa, the counterexample can be found in [23]. For an $H$-matrix $A$, we have $A$ is nonsingular, and $\left|A^{-1}\right| \leq\langle A\rangle^{-1}$. If $\langle A\rangle-|B|$ is an $M$-matrix, then $\langle A\rangle$ is also an $M$-matrix, and there holds $\rho\left(\langle A\rangle^{-1}\right)|B|<1$.

Next, we are going to give the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems. Let $z=\frac{1}{\gamma}(|x|+x), w=\frac{1}{\gamma} \Omega(|x|-x)$ in (1), where $\Omega$ is a positive diagonal matrix, $\gamma$ is a positive constant. Consider the matrix splittings $A=$ $M_{A}-N_{A}, B=M_{B}-N_{B}$, then $(z, w)$ is the solution of horizonal linear complementarity problem (1) if and only if $x$ satisfies the following fixed-point equation

$$
\left(M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega\right) x=\left(N_{A}+N_{B} \Omega\right) x+(B \Omega-A)|x|+\gamma q .
$$

The modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method proposed in [34] can be described as follows:

Algorithm 2.1 (1)Chose initial vector $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $k=0$;
(2)Find $x^{(k+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by solving the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega\right) x^{(k+1)}=\left(N_{A}+N_{B} \Omega\right) x^{(k)}+(B \Omega-A)\left|x^{(k)}\right|+\gamma q, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3)Let $z^{(k+1)}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\left|x^{(k+1)}\right|+x^{(k+1)}\right), w^{(k+1)}=\frac{\Omega}{\gamma}\left(\left|x^{(k+1)}\right|-x^{(k+1)}\right)$;
(4)If $\left(z^{(k+1)}, w^{(k+1)}\right)$ satisfies the stop criteria, stop the iteration; otherwise, let $k:=k+1$, return to step (2).

Specially, if we chose

$$
M_{i}=\frac{D_{i}-\beta_{i} L_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}, N_{i}=\frac{\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) D_{i}+\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right) L_{i}+\alpha_{i} U_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in(0,2), \beta_{i}>0$ are iterative parameters $(i=A, B)$, then we will have the MAOR iterative method. The modulus-based succesive over-relaxation (abbreviated as MSOR) iterative method, the modulus-based Gauss-Siedel (abbreviated as MGS) iterative method and the modulus-based Jacobi (abbreviated as MJ) iterative method are the special cases when the quaternion array $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}, \beta_{A}, \beta_{B}\right)$ is chosen as $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}, \alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right),(1,1,1,1)$ and $(1,1,0,0)$, respectively. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of horizonal linear complementarity problem (1) can be found in [34].

When $A, B$ are both $H_{+}$-matrices, the following convergence result of Algorithm 2.1 for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems are given in reference [34]:

Theorem 2.1 Let $A, B$ be $H_{+}$-matrices, $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ be an $H$-splitting of $A$ and $B=$ $M_{B}-N_{B}$ be an $H$-compatible splitting of $B$. Suppose the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies that $M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega$ is an $H_{+}$-matrix, $\Omega \geq D_{A} D_{B}^{-1},\left|b_{i j}\right| \omega_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j$, and for $b_{i j} \neq 0, \operatorname{sign}\left(b_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{i j}\right)$. Then for arbitrary initial vector $x^{(0)}$, the iterative sequence $\left\{\left(z^{(k+1)}, w^{(k+1)}\right)\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ generated by Algorithm 2.1 will converge to the solution of horizonal linear complementarity problem (1).

Later, the authors of reference [35] generalize the conditions in Theorem 2.1 and obtained the following conclusion:

Theorem 2.2 Let $A, B$ be $H_{+}$-matrices, $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ be an $H$-splitting of $A$, and the splitting $B=M_{B}-N_{B}$ satisfies $D_{M_{B}}>0$. Suppose the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies that $M_{A}+$ $M_{B} \Omega$ is an $H_{+}$-matrix, and

$$
\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle \Omega \geq\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle, \quad\left|N_{A}\right| \geq\left|N_{B}\right| \Omega .
$$

Then for arbitrary initial vector $x^{(0)}$, the iterative sequence $\left\{\left(z^{(k+1)}, w^{(k+1)}\right)\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ generated by Algorithm 2.1 will converge to the solution of horizonal linear complementarity problem (1).

## 3 Main Results

Firstly, based on the conditions in Theorem 2.1, we derive the choice of the iterative parameters $\alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}, \alpha_{B}, \beta_{B}$ in the modulus-based accelerated over-relaxation method to guarantee its convergency, which is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose $A, B$ are $H_{+}$-matrices, the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\Omega \geq$ $D_{A} D_{B}^{-1},\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j$, and for $b_{i j} \neq 0, \operatorname{sign}\left(b_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{i j}\right)$. When $0 \leq \beta_{B} \leq \alpha_{B} \leq 1, \alpha_{B} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{A}, \beta_{A}$ satisfy one of the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq \beta_{A} \leq \alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}, \alpha_{A} \neq 0,  \tag{1}\\
& 2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}<\alpha_{A}<\beta_{A}<2-2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{A}=\rho\left(D_{A}^{-1}\left|C_{A}\right|\right)$, then for arbitrary initial vector $x^{(0)}$, the modulus-based accelerated overrelaxation iterative method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems will converge.

Proof: From Theorem 2.1, we can see that the conditions $\Omega \geq D_{A} D_{B}^{-1},\left|b_{i j}\right| \omega_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=$ $1, \cdots, n, i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{sign}\left(b_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{i j}\right)$ are independent to the splittings of $A, B$, so we only need
to analyse the sufficient conditions for $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ being an $H$-splitting of $A, B=M_{B}-N_{B}$ being an $H$-compatible splitting of $B$, and $M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega$ being an $H_{+}$-matrix, respectively.

Firstly, we will analyse the sufficient conditions for $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ being an $H$-splitting. From the definition of $H$-splitting, we only need to find a sufficient condition for $\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right|$ being an $M$-matrix. Since $A$ is an $H_{+}$-matrix, we have $D_{A}>0$. From the expressions of $M_{A}, M_{B}$ in MAOR iterative method, it can be easily found that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right| & =\frac{D_{A}-\beta_{A}\left|L_{A}\right|-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right| D_{A}-\left|\alpha_{A}-\beta_{A}\right|\left|L_{A}\right|-\alpha_{A}\left|U_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} \\
& =\frac{\left[1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|\right] D_{A}-\left[\beta_{A}+\left|\alpha_{A}-\beta_{A}\right|\right]\left|L_{A}\right|-\alpha_{A}\left|U_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $0<\alpha_{A}<2,\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right| \geq 1-\alpha_{A}$, there always hold that $1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|>0$ and $0<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} \leq 1$. In the following, we will discuss from case to case:
(1) When $\alpha_{A} \geq \beta_{A} \geq 0, \alpha_{A} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right|=\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A}-\left(\left|L_{A}\right|+\left|U_{A}\right|\right)=\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A}-\left|C_{A}\right| .
$$

From the result in [1], we know that the condition $\rho_{A}<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}}$ can make sure that $\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right|$ is an $M$-matrix. By simple computation, we can see that the validity of inequality $\rho_{A}<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_{A}<1, \rho_{A} \alpha_{A}<1$ and $\left(1+\rho_{A}\right) \alpha_{A}<2$ being true simultaneously. It is noticed that when $\rho_{A}<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}}, \rho_{A}<1, \rho_{A} \alpha_{A}<1$ always holds true. Thus a sufficient condition for $\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right|$ being an $M$-matrix is $\left(1+\rho_{A}\right) \alpha_{A}<2$, that is $\alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}$. It follows that, when $0 \leq \beta_{A} \leq \alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}, \alpha_{A} \neq 0, A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ is an $H$-splitting.
(2) When $0<\alpha_{A}<\beta_{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right| & =\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A}-\frac{\left(2 \beta_{A}-\alpha_{A}\right)\left|L_{A}\right|+\alpha_{A}\left|U_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} \\
& >\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A}-\frac{2 \beta_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\left|L_{A}\right|-\frac{2 \beta_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\left|U_{A}\right| \\
& =\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A}-\frac{2 \beta_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}\left|C_{A}\right|:=K,
\end{aligned}
$$

From the result in [1], we know that a sufficient condition for $\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle-\left|N_{A}\right|$ being an $M$-matrix is that $K$ is an $M$-matrix, and a sufficient condition for $K$ being an $M$-matrix is $\rho_{A}<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{2 \beta_{A}}$. It is noticed that since $1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right| \leq \alpha_{A} \leq \beta_{A}$, then for arbitrary $0<\alpha_{A} \leq \beta_{A}$ there always holds $\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{2 \beta_{A}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Meanwhile, the validity of inequality $\rho_{A}<\frac{1-\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{2 \beta_{A}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_{A}<\frac{1}{2}, 2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}<1$ and $2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}<\alpha_{A}<2-2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}$ being true simultaneously. So when $2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}<\alpha_{A} \leq \beta_{A}<2-2 \rho_{A} \beta_{A}$, the splitting $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ is an $H$-splitting.

Secondly, we will analyse the sufficient condition for $B=M_{B}-N_{B}$ being an $H$-compatible splitting. From the definition of $H$-compatible splitting, we know that the only thing we need to
do is to find a sufficient condition for $\langle B\rangle=\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle-\left|N_{B}\right|$ being true. Since $B$ is an $H_{+}$-matrix, we have $\langle B\rangle$ is an $M$-matrix too, and $D_{B}>0$. Then $\langle B\rangle=\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle-\left|N_{B}\right|$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{B}-\left|L_{A}+U_{A}\right|=\frac{\left[1-\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|\right]}{\alpha_{B}} D_{B}-\frac{\left[\beta_{B}-\left|\alpha_{B}-\beta_{B}\right|\right]}{\alpha_{B}}\left|L_{B}\right|-\left|U_{B}\right| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to make (3) true, we only need to make sure that $1-\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|=\alpha_{B}$ and $\beta_{B}+\left|\alpha_{B}-\beta_{B}\right|=$ $\alpha_{B}$ hold true simultaneously, which means

$$
0 \leq \beta_{B} \leq \alpha_{B} \leq 1, \alpha_{B} \neq 0 .
$$

Lastly, we will analyse the sufficient condition for $M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega$ being an $H_{+}$-matrix. Since $D_{A}, D_{B}, \Omega$ are all positive diagonal matrices and $\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}$ are all positive, we have that the diagonal part of

$$
M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega=\frac{D_{A}-\beta_{A} L_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}+\frac{D_{B}-\beta_{B} L_{B}}{\alpha_{B}} \Omega,
$$

which is $\frac{D_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}+\frac{D_{B}}{\alpha_{B}} \Omega$, is positive. It is easy to get that

$$
\left\langle M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega\right\rangle=\frac{D_{A}}{\alpha_{A}}+\frac{D_{B}}{\alpha_{B}} \Omega-\left|\frac{\beta_{A}}{\alpha_{A}} L_{A}+\frac{\beta_{B}}{\alpha_{B}} L_{B} \Omega\right|,
$$

and matrix $\left\langle M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega\right\rangle$ is an $M$-matrix. So in the MAOR iterative method, for arbitrary $\alpha_{i} \in(0,2), \beta_{i} \geq 0,(i=A, B), M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega$ is an $H_{+}$-matrix.

Combining the above analysis, we can obtain the result of this theorem.

Remark 3.1 We notice that, when matrix $B$ is the identity matrix, the MAOR iterative method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems will be the one for solving linear complementarity problems. At this case, from the result in Theorem 3.1, for arbitrary $0<\alpha_{B}=\beta_{B} \leq 1$, when $0<\alpha_{A}=\beta_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}$, the MSOR iterative method converges; we can see that the bounds for $\alpha_{A}$ is bigger than the ones in [5]. It is noticed that, under condition(1), the inequality $0<\rho_{A}<1$ naturally holds, then $1<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}<2$. Thus, when $\alpha_{B}=\beta_{B}=1, \alpha_{A}=\beta_{A}=1$, we can have the convergency of MGS iterative method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems; when $\alpha_{A}=\alpha_{B}=1, \beta_{A}=\beta_{B}=0$, we can have the convergency of MJ iterative method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems.

It is found that in actual implementation the MSOR iterative method will still converge when $\alpha_{B}>1$, which inspires us to improve the conditions in Theorem 3.1. From Theorem 2.2, we know that the conditions $A=M_{A}-N_{A}$ being an $H$-splitting and $M_{A}+M_{B} \Omega$ being an $H_{+}$-matrix are the same as those in Theorem 2.1, and in MSOR iterative method and MJ
iterative method, the splitting $B=M_{B}-N_{B}$ naturally satisfies $D_{M_{B}}>0$. So the only thing we need to do is to find the conditions to guarantee

$$
\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle \Omega \geq\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle,\left|N_{A}\right| \geq\left|N_{B}\right| \Omega
$$

Firstly, in the modulus-based Jacobian iterative method, it is easy to find that the condition $\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle \Omega \geq\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle$ becomes $\Omega \geq D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$, and $\left|N_{A}\right| \geq\left|N_{B}\right| \Omega$ means $i, j=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j,\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq$ $\left|a_{i j}\right|$. All these conditions are the same as those in Theorem 3.1, so MJ iterative method converges.

Secondly, in the MSOR iterative method, since $\alpha_{A}=\beta_{A}$ and $\alpha_{B}=\beta_{B}$, the constraint on $\alpha_{A}$ is $0<\alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}$ from Theorem 3.1. Meanwhile, the condition $\left\langle M_{B}\right\rangle \Omega \geq\left\langle M_{A}\right\rangle,\left|N_{A}\right| \geq\left|N_{B}\right| \Omega$ is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{b_{i i}}{\alpha_{B}} w_{i i} \geq \frac{a_{i i}}{\alpha_{A}},\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i>j,  \tag{4}\\
\frac{\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right| b_{i i}}{\alpha_{B}} w_{i i} \leq \frac{\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} a_{i i},\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i<j
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, for all $i, j=1, \cdots, n, i<j$ there always holds $\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|$. Then we will discuss by cases:
(i) When $\alpha_{B}=1$, then $\frac{\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right| b_{i i}}{\alpha_{B}} w_{i i}=0 \leq \frac{\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}} a_{i i}$ is true for arbitrary $w_{i i}$. In this case, for $0<\alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}$, if $\Omega \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$, the MSOR iterative method will converge.
(ii) When $\alpha_{B} \neq 1$, from condition (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha_{B}}{\alpha_{A}} a_{i i} b_{i i}^{-1} \leq w_{i i} \leq \frac{\alpha_{B}\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|} a_{i i} b_{i i}^{-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we should find the values of $\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}$ to make sure that the range of $w_{i i}$ in (5) is non-empty. It is noticed that if $1>\alpha_{A} \geq \alpha_{B}>0$ or $2>\alpha_{B} \geq \alpha_{A}>1$, we will have $\Omega=D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$ only when $\alpha_{A}=\alpha_{B}$; if $1>\alpha_{B} \leq \alpha_{A}>0$ or $2>\alpha_{A} \geq \alpha_{B}>1$ or $2>\alpha_{B}>1 \geq \alpha_{A}>0, \alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B} \leq 2$ or $2>\alpha_{A} \geq 1>\alpha_{B}>0, \alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B} \geq 2$, we have $\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right| \geq\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|$, and thus $\frac{\alpha_{B}}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1} \leq \Omega \geq$ $\frac{\alpha_{B}\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$.

Summarizing the above analysis, we can have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose $A, B$ are $H_{+-}$matrices, the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega$ satisfies $\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq$ $\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j$. Then for arbitrary initial vectors $x^{(0)}$,
(1)when $\Omega \geq D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$, the modulus-based Jacobian iterative method will converge;
(2) when $\Omega \geq \frac{1}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}, \alpha_{B}=1, \alpha_{A} \in\left(0, \frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}\right)$, the modulus-based successive over-relaxation iterative method will converge;
(3)when $\Omega=D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}, \alpha_{B}=\alpha_{A} \in(0,1) \bigcup\left(\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}, 2\right)$, the modulus-based successive over-relaxation iterative method will converge;
(4)when $\frac{\alpha_{B}}{\alpha_{A}} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1} \leq \Omega \geq \frac{\alpha_{B}\left|1-\alpha_{A}\right|}{\alpha_{A}\left|1-\alpha_{B}\right|} D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$, and one of the following conditions is true, (4-1)

$$
0<\alpha_{A} \leq \alpha_{B}<1
$$

(4-2)

$$
1<\alpha_{B} \leq \alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}
$$

(4-3)

$$
0<\alpha_{B}<1 \leq \alpha_{A}<\frac{2}{1+\rho_{A}}, \alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B} \geq 2
$$

(4-4)

$$
0<\alpha_{A} \leq 1<\alpha_{B}<2, \alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B} \leq 2,
$$

the modulus-based successive over-relaxation iterative method will converge.

## 4 Numerical Experiment

In this section, we will give some examples to test the above theoretical analysis in section 3.

In all the following numerical experiments, the initial vector is chosen to be $x^{(0)}=2 e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $e$ is the column vector with all entries being $1, \gamma=1, \Omega=D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}, q=A z^{*}-B w^{*}$, where $z^{*}=(0,1,0,1 \cdots)^{T}, w^{*}=(1,0,1,0, \cdots)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In the MSOR iterative method, the optimal parameters $\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}$ are chosen as the ones with least iteration steps(which is denoted as ' IT '). The iteration time is denoted as 'CPU', whose unit is second; the stopping criteria is RES $\leq 10^{-6}$, where 'RES' is defined as

$$
\operatorname{RES}\left(z^{(k)}\right):=\left\|A z^{(k)}-B w^{(k)}-q\right\|_{2},
$$

or $k$ reaches the maximal number of iteration steps which is 1000 in our paper. All the computations are performed in MATLAB with double machine precision where the CPU is 2.40 GHz and the memory is 4.00 GB .

Let $I_{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional identity matrix, consider the following examples.
Example $4.1{ }^{[34]}$ Let $m$ be a given positive integer, $n=m^{2}$. Chose $A=\hat{A}+\mu I_{n}, B=\hat{B}+\nu I_{n}$
in (1), where

$$
\hat{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S & -I_{m} & & & \\
-I_{m} & S & -I_{m} & \ddots & \\
& -I_{m} & S & \ddots & \\
& & & \ddots & -I_{m} \\
& & & -I_{m} & S
\end{array}\right), \hat{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
S & & & \\
& S & & \\
& S & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & S
\end{array}\right)
$$

$S=\operatorname{tridiag}(-1,4,-1) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a tridiagonal matrix.
Example $4.2{ }^{[34]}$ Let $m$ be a given positive integer, $n=m^{2}$. Chose $A=\hat{A}+\mu I_{n}, B=\hat{B}+\nu I_{n}$ in (1), where

$$
\hat{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S & -0.5 I_{m} & & & \\
-1.5 I_{m} & S & -0.5 I_{m} & \ddots & \\
& -1.5 I_{m} & S & \ddots & \\
& & & \ddots & -0.5 I_{m} \\
& & & -1.5 I_{m} & S
\end{array}\right), \hat{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S & & & \\
& S & & & \\
& & S & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & S
\end{array}\right),
$$

$S=\operatorname{tridiag}(-1.5,4,-0.5) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a tridiagonal matrix.
Example 4.3 ${ }^{[36]}$ Let $m$ be a given positive integer, $n=m^{2}$. Chose $A=\hat{A}+\mu I_{n}, B=\hat{B}+\nu I_{n}$ in (1), where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S & -I_{m} & -I_{m} & & \\
& S & -I_{m} & \ddots & \\
& & S & \ddots & -I_{m} \\
& & & \ddots & -I_{m} \\
& & & & S
\end{array}\right), \hat{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
S & & & & \\
& S & & & \\
& & S & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & S
\end{array}\right), \\
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
4 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 4 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m},
\end{gathered}
$$

is an upper tridiagonal matrix.

We should note that, since the positive diagonal matrix $\Omega=D_{A} D_{B}^{-1}$, the conditions in Theorem 3.1 " $\left|b_{i j}\right| w_{j j} \leq\left|a_{i j}\right|, i, j=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j$, and for $b_{i j} \neq 0$ there is $\operatorname{sign}\left(b_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{i j}\right)$ " are true for $A, B$ in all the three examples here.

The numerical results when parameters $(\mu, \nu)$ are $(0,0)$ and $(0,4)$ in examples 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 are listed in Tables 1, 3, 5 and Tables 2, 4, 6, respectively. By computation, it is easy to find the optimal parameters in MSOR iterative methods. In Example 4.1, when $(\mu, \nu)=(0,0)$, $n \geq 16^{2}$, the optimal parameters are $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)=(1,1.7)$; when $(\mu, \nu)=(0,4), n \geq 20^{2}$, the optimal parameters are $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)=(1,1.1)$. In Example 4.2, when $(\mu, \nu)=(0,0)$ and $(\mu, \nu)=$ $(0,4), n \geq 50^{2}$ 时, the optimal parameters $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)$ are $(0.8,2.1)$ and (1.3, $)$, respectively. In Example 4.3, when $(\mu, \nu)=(0,0), n \geq 128^{2}$, the optimal parameters are $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)=(0.8,2.1)$; when $(\mu, \nu)=(0,4), n \geq 50^{2}$, the optimal parameters are $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)=(0.5,1.5)$. Actually, we find that the optimal parameters in MSOR iterative methods for solving all the examples are independent to the sizes of the problems. Thus, in the following numerical results which are solved by MSOR iterative methods, the optimal parameters are chosen as those listed above.

Table 1: Numerical results for Example 4.1 when $\mu=\nu=0$

| $m$ |  | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ | IT | 95 | 99 | 102 | 106 | 109 |
|  | CPU | 0.0200 | 0.0850 | 0.3220 | 1.7550 | 7.0290 |
|  | RES | 8.8936 | 8.7364 | 9.8594 | 8.7102 | 9.3671 |
| MGS | IT | 59 | 61 | 63 | 65 | 67 |
|  | CPU | 0.0110 | 0.0500 | 0.1980 | 1.1250 | 4.6050 |
|  | RES | 7.5899 | 8.7908 | 9.4246 | 9.7680 | 9.9627 |
| MSOR | IT | 37 | 39 | 40 | 42 | 43 |
|  | CPU | 0.0010 | 0.0350 | 0.1350 | 0.7290 | 3.1970 |
|  | RES | 9.3283 | 7.1322 | 8.7977 | 6.0260 | 6.9788 |

As we are expected, the MSOR iterative method has the best numerical performance with the optimal parameters, especially when the sizes of problems are large. It is noted that, since the strictly lower triangular parts of $A$ and $B$ in Example 4.3 are zeros, then the numerical results of the MGS iterative method and the MJ iterative method for solving this example are the same, which can also be shown in Tables 5 and 6 . Meanwhile, we find that some of the values for the iterative parameters $\left(\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}\right)$ do not satisfy the conditions in the previous theorems, for example, when $\alpha_{A}>2$ or $\alpha_{B}>2$, the iteration methods can also converge. This means the conditions are sufficient but not necessary for convergency.

Table 2: Numerical results for Example 4.1 when $\mu=0, \nu=4$

| $m$ |  | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ | IT | 128 | 133 | 137 | 142 | 146 |
|  | CPU | 0.0370 | 0.1360 | 0.4400 | 2.3460 | 10.0290 |
|  | RES | 9.0080 | 8.9363 | 9.9367 | 9.2581 | 9.9921 |
| MGS | IT | 112 | 117 | 121 | 125 | 129 |
|  | CPU | 0.0340 | 0.1010 | 0.4100 | 2.2550 | 9.2410 |
|  | RES | 9.8619 | 8.7882 | 8.9821 | 9.0059 | 8.9457 |
| MSOR | IT | 102 | 106 | 110 | 114 | 118 |
|  | CPU | 0.0290 | 0.0860 | 0.3930 | 2.1020 | 8.9950 |
|  | RES | 9.6586 | 9.5206 | 9.0486 | 8.4547 | 7.8343 |

Table 3: Numerical results for Example 4.2 when $\mu=\nu=0$

| $m$ |  | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ | IT | 93 | 98 | 102 | 106 | 109 |
|  | CPU | 0.0160 | 0.0870 | 0.3130 | 1.6970 | 7.6940 |
|  | RES | 9.9534 | 9.4476 | 9.2471 | 8.4346 | 9.2158 |
| MGS | IT | 41 | 43 | 44 | 46 | 47 |
|  | CPU | 0.0100 | 0.0350 | 0.1360 | 0.8240 | 3.4410 |
|  | RES | 7.7255 | 7.1258 | 9.5827 | 7.4643 | 9.3205 |
| MSOR | IT | 27 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 31 |
|  | CPU | 0.0060 | 0.0250 | 0.0830 | 0.5690 | 2.4040 |
|  | RES | 7.6257 | 4.1188 | 9.1010 | 4.1609 | 8.5184 |

## 5 Conclusion

When the systematic matrices $A, B$ are $H_{+}$-matrices, we discussed the choice of parameters in modulus-based accelerated over-relaxation method for solving horizonal linear complementarity problems $\operatorname{HLCP}(A, B, q)$. Numerical results further demonstrate the theoretical analysis. We must admit that the conditions we obtained are sufficient but not necessary, further research still should be carried out.

Table 4: Numerical results for Example 4.2 when $\mu=0, \nu=4$

| $m$ |  | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ | IT | 127 | 132 | 137 | 142 | 146 |
|  | CPU | 0.0240 | 0.0930 | 0.4690 | 2.4010 | 9.6400 |
|  | RES | 9.5224 | 9.9677 | 9.7029 | 9.1461 | 9.9299 |
| MGS | IT | 105 | 109 | 113 | 117 | 120 |
|  | CPU | 0.0220 | 0.0860 | 0.3890 | 2.1060 | 8.6100 |
|  | RES | 8.3500 | 8.7889 | 8.7010 | 8.3801 | 9.6259 |
| MSOR | IT | 99 | 103 | 107 | 111 | 115 |
|  | CPU | 0.0200 | 0.0810 | 0.3430 | 2.0370 | 8.3850 |
|  | RES | 8.9971 | 8.7408 | 8.1325 | 7.4450 | 6.7693 |

Table 5: Numerical results for Example 4.3 when $\mu=\nu=0$

| $m$ |  | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MJ | IT | 120 | 129 | 135 | 140 | 145 |
|  | CPU | 0.0780 | 0.3430 | 2.1030 | 8.8880 | 35.3320 |
|  | RES | 9.3945 | 9.3624 | 9.5031 | 9.6934 | 9.2926 |
| MGS | IT | 120 | 129 | 135 | 140 | 145 |
|  | CPU | 0.1100 | 0.3120 | 2.0930 | 8.7180 | 35.9480 |
|  | RES | 9.3945 | 9.3624 | 9.5031 | 9.6934 | 9.2926 |
| MSOR | IT | 103 | 110 | 115 | 120 | 124 |
|  | CPU | 0.0620 | 0.2620 | 1.7810 | 7.7870 | 30.9570 |
|  | RES | 9.7921 | 9.5975 | 9.7330 | 8.6096 | 8.6564 |
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水平互补问题的数学模型是：给定两个 $n \times n$ 的实矩阵 $A, B$ 和一个 $n$ 维实列向量 $q$ ，我们要寻找列向量 $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ 满足

$$
A z-B w=q, \quad z \geq 0, \quad w \geq 0, \quad z^{T} w=0 .
$$

注意，这里的不等号是分量意义下的不等号，$z^{T}$ 为向量 $z$ 的转置。当矩阵 $A$ 或 $B$ 为单位矩阵时，此水平互补问题便化为线性互补问题。水平线性互补问题通常被记为 $\operatorname{HLCP}(A, B, q)$ ，它来源于科学计算中的许多实际问题，如接触问题，障碍问题，径向滑动轴承问题，双矩阵博弈中的纳什均衡点问题和金融定价问题等；具体可参考文献［1］．
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