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#### Abstract

Given a prime $p$ and positive integers $n$ and $k$, consider the ring $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$. Friedman and Washington computed the number of matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ with a given residue modulo $p$ and a given cokernel $G$ subject to the condition $p^{k-1} G=0$. Cheong, Liang, and Strand generalized this result by removing the condition $p^{k-1} G=0$, completing the description of the distribution of the cokernel of a random matrix uniformly selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. In this paper, we investigate the distribution of the cokernel of a random symmetric matrix uniformly selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We prove a symmetric analogue of the result of Cheong, Liang, and Strand by adapting their methods. Our result leads to a refined version of a result of Clancy, Kaplan, Leake, Payne, and Wood.
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## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, let $p$ be a prime and $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. We adopt the following notation.

Definition 1.1. For any nonnegative integer $m$ and positive integer $q$, we write

$$
\phi_{m}(q)=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-q^{-j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{m}(q)=\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor}\left(1-q^{-2 j}\right)
$$

In [7], Friedman and Washington studied the distribution of the cokernel of a random matrix selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over the $p$-adic integers. They showed the following result, where we identify $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. We recall an explicit formula for $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|$, the order of the automorphism group of $G$, in Lemma 2.24.

Theorem 1.2 ([7, pp. 232-233]). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated torsion module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For a random matrix $X$ selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with respect to additive Haar measure, the probability that $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ is

$$
P_{n}(G)=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{n}(p)^{2}}{\phi_{n-r}(p)},
$$

where $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)$.
Friedman and Washington were motivated by the study of Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for $p$-parts of ideal class groups of number fields; see the introduction to the paper of Cheong and Huang [3] for additional discussion of the connection between ideal class groups and cokernels of $p$-adic matrices and the motivation behind the work of Friedman and Washington. In addition, the cokernel of a matrix $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ carries the same information as the Smith normal form of $X$, which has a variety of applications throughout combinatorics and number theory; see, for example, the survey of Stanley [12].

Friedman and Washington also studied the distribution of the cokernel of a random matrix uniformly selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which is equivalent to counting the number of matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ whose cokernel is a given finitely generated module $G$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$. A main idea of their work is to fix some matrix $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ and count the matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ with the given cokernel $G$ whose residue modulo $p$ is $\bar{X}$. They showed the following result. ${ }^{1}$

Theorem 1.3 ([7, pp. 235-236]). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $p^{k-1} G=0$. For any $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq$ $G / p G$,

$$
\#\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \\
\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G \\
\text { and } X \equiv \bar{X} \quad(\bmod p)
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{p^{(k-1) n^{2}+r^{2}}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \phi_{r}(p)^{2}
$$

where $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)$.
It is striking that the count in Theorem 1.3 does not depend on the fixed residue $\bar{X}$ as long as $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G$. Since $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G$ is equivalent to

[^0]$\operatorname{rank}(\bar{X})=n-r$, the number of such residues $\bar{X}$ is well-known; see Lemma 2.22. Multiplying the result of Theorem 1.3 by the number of admissible residues $\bar{X}$ gives the total number of matrices $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ subject to the condition $p^{k-1} G=0$, which is enough to recover Theorem 1.2.

In [4], Cheong, Liang, and Strand refined Theorem 1.3 to cover the case $p^{k-1} G \neq 0 .{ }^{2}$

Theorem 1.4 ([4, p. 8]). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$. For any $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G$,

$$
\#\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \\
\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G \\
\text { and } X \equiv \bar{X} \quad(\bmod p)
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{p^{(k-1) n^{2}+r^{2}}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p)^{2}}{\phi_{u}(p)}
$$

where $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)$ and $u=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G\right)$.
Combined with Lemma 2.22, Theorem 1.4 fully describes the distribution of the cokernel of a random matrix uniformly selected from $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Following the work of Friedman and Washington, many mathematicians have studied distributions of cokernels of families of random matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For example, Bhargava, Kane, Lenstra, Poonen, and Rains [2] determined the distribution of the cokernel of a random $n \times n$ alternating matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and Clancy, Kaplan, Leake, Payne, and Wood [5] determined the distribution of the cokernel of a random $n \times n$ symmetric matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The following symmetric analogue to Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 2 in [5, p. 706]. We review partitions and related notation in Section 2.5.

Theorem 1.5 ([8, p. 305]). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated torsion module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8 and type $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ as defined in Definition 2.21. For a random matrix $X$ selected from $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with respect to additive Haar measure, the probability that $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ is

$$
P_{n}^{\mathrm{Sym}}(\lambda)=p^{-n(\lambda)-|\lambda|} \frac{\phi_{n}(p)}{\psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
$$

Theorem 1.5 is enough to determine the total number of matrices $X \in$ $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ subject to the condition $p^{k-1} G=0$.

In this paper, we seek a refinement to Theorem 1.5 analogous to Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 by counting the matrices in $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ with the given cokernel $G$ whose residue modulo $p$ is some fixed matrix $\bar{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$. This is our main result.

[^1]Theorem 1.6 (Main result). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8. For any $\bar{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq$ $G / p G$,

$$
\#\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \\
\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G \\
\text { and } X \equiv \bar{X} \quad(\bmod p)
\end{array}\right\}=\sqrt{\frac{p^{(k-1) n(n+1)+r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}}
$$

where

$$
r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}
$$

and

$$
u=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G\right)= \begin{cases}r_{1} & \text { if } e_{1}=k \\ 0 & \text { if } e_{1}<k\end{cases}
$$

Again, since $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{rank}(\bar{X})=n-r$, the number of such residues $\bar{X}$ follows from Lemma 2.23. Multiplying the result of Theorem 1.6 by the number of admissible residues $\bar{X}$ gives the total number of matrices $X \in$ $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$, which is enough to recover Theorem 1.5 as we discuss in Section 4. Our proof of Theorem 1.6, given in Section 3, does not use the method of moments, a major tool in this subject. Instead, we demonstrate that the methodology originally employed by Friedman and Washington in [7] and later refined by Cheong, Liang, and Strand in [4] carries over to the symmetric case.

In Theorem 1.3 on [13, pp. 919-920], Wood showed a strong universality result for the distribution of the cokernel of a random $n \times n$ symmetric matrix as $n \rightarrow \infty$, namely that the distribution follows a variant of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics as long as the random symmetric matrix $X$ comes from choosing each entry $X_{i j}(i \leq j)$ independently from an $\epsilon$-balanced distribution. We show that the cokernel distribution still follows a variant of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics when we restrict to symmetric matrices with a fixed residue modulo $p$. Our results fit into a body of literature about cokernels of families of $p$-adic matrices with algebraic structure and variations of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics. For example, Cheong and Huang [3] studied the cokernel of a polynomial pushforward of a random matrix, Yan [14] worked with random matrices over a Dedekind domain, and Lee [9] investigated Hermitian matrices.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we review some preliminary concepts and results.

## $2.1 \quad p$-adic integers and the additive Haar measure on them

Definition 2.1 ( $p$-adic integer). A p-adic integer is an infinite sequence $a=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right)$ of residues $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $a_{i} \equiv a_{j}\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)$ for all $i<j$. The set $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of $p$-adic integers forms a commutative ring under elementwise addition and multiplication over their respective rings $\mathbb{Z} / p^{i} \mathbb{Z}$. The ring of integers is embedded in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ through the monomorphism

$$
n \mapsto\left(n \bmod p, n \bmod p^{2}, n \bmod p^{3}, \ldots\right) .
$$

We identify the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ as they are isomorphic.
Definition 2.2 (additive Haar measure on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ). Let $\Sigma$ be the $\sigma$-algebra on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ generated by subsets of the form $a+p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ where $k$ is a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The additive Haar measure $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow[0,1]$ is defined by

$$
\mu\left(a+p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=p^{-k}
$$

for all aforementioned subsets $a+p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Remark 2.3. If $a$ is a random $p$-adic integer selected with respect to additive Haar measure, then its residue $a \bmod p^{k}$ is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$.

### 2.2 Principal ideal domains and finitely generated modules over them

Definition 2.4 (principal ideal domain). An integral domain is a nontrivial commutative ring in which the product of two nonzero elements is always nonzero. A principal ideal domain is an integral domain in which every ideal can be generated by a single element.
Definition 2.5 (torsion). Let $M$ be a module over the ring $R$. A torsion element of $M$ is an element that becomes zero when multiplied by some nonzero element of $R$. The torsion submodule of $M$ is the submodule consisting of the torsion elements of $M$. The module $M$ is a torsion module if it equals its torsion submodule.

The following theorem is known as the invariant factor form of the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a principal ideal domain.
Theorem 2.6 ([6, pp. 462-463]). Let $M$ be a finitely generated module over a principal ideal domain $R$. Then, $M$ has a product decomposition

$$
M \simeq R^{r} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} R / a_{i} R
$$

for some nonnegative integer $r$ and nonzero non-unit elements $a_{i} \in R$ satisfying

$$
a_{1}|\cdots| a_{m}
$$

This product decomposition is unique up to multiplication of $a_{i}$ by units. The module $M$ is a torsion module if and only if $r=0$.

Definition 2.7 (invariant factor of a module). In the product decomposition specified in Theorem 2.6, the invariant factors of the module $M$ are the elements $a_{i}$ with multiplicity. Invariant factors are defined up to multiplication by a unit.

For a finitely generated module $G$ over the principal ideal domain $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we may assume that every invariant factor of $G$ is normalized to a power of $p$ via multiplication by an appropriate unit. We arrive at the following corollary of Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.8. Every finitely generated torsion module $G$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has a unique product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

where $e_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are positive integers such that $e_{1}>\cdots>e_{s}$. Each $p^{e_{i}}$ is an invariant factor of $G$ with multiplicity $r_{i}$.

Remark 2.9. Every finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ can be viewed as a finitely generated torsion module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ via the identification $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z} \simeq$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Thus, Corollary 2.8 also applies to such modules with $e_{1} \leq k$.

### 2.3 Cokernels and the Smith normal form

Definition 2.10 (cokernel). Let $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a commutative ring $R$. Each matrix $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ represents an endomorphism $v \mapsto X v$ of the module $R^{n}$ over $R$. The image $\operatorname{im}(X)$ of the matrix $X$ is defined as the image of this endomorphism, and the cokernel of the matrix $X$ is defined as the quotient module

$$
\operatorname{cok}(X)=R^{n} / \operatorname{im}(X)
$$

Definition 2.11 (invariant factor of a matrix). Suppose that $R$ is a principal ideal domain. The invariant factors of a matrix in $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ are the invariant factors of its cokernel.

We use $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ to denote the diagonal matrix or diagonal block matrix with entries $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ on the diagonal.

Theorem 2.12 (Smith normal form). Suppose that $R$ is a principal ideal domain and $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ has rank $r$. For any nonnegative integer $i \leq n$, let $d_{i}$ be the greatest common divisor of all $i \times i$ minors of $X$, defined up to multiplication by a unit. In particular, $d_{0}$ is a unit. Then, $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}$ are nonzero and satisfy

$$
d_{0}|\cdots| d_{r}
$$

while $d_{r+1}=\cdots=d_{n}=0$.
For any positive integer $i \leq r$, there is an element $\alpha_{i} \in R$ such that $d_{i}=$ $\alpha_{i} d_{i-1}$. Again, $\alpha_{i}$ is defined up to multiplication by a unit. Then,

$$
\alpha_{1}|\cdots| \alpha_{r}
$$

and there are matrices $S, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ such that

$$
S X T=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

This diagonal matrix is the Smith normal form of $X$.
Remark 2.13. The nonzero diagonal entries of the Smith normal form of $X$ comprise the invariant factors of $X$, which uniquely describe $\operatorname{cok}(X)$ up to isomorphism. Thus, $\operatorname{cok}(X)$ carries the same information as the Smith normal form of $X$.

The $p$-adic integers form a principal ideal domain. For any matrix $X \in$ $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, we may assume that every invariant factor of $X$ is normalized to a power of $p$ via multiplication by an appropriate unit. The same applies to nonzero entries in the Smith normal form of $X$.

Given a matrix $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, the following lemma translates a constraint on the smallest invariant factor of $\operatorname{cok}(X)$ and its multiplicity to an equivalent condition on the expansion of $X$ in terms of powers of $p$.

Lemma 2.14. Suppose that the nonzero matrix $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
X=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^{i} X_{i}
$$

for some positive integer $k$, where each $X_{i}$ takes entries in $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$. For any nonnegative integer $e \leq k$ and positive integer $r \leq n$, the smallest invariant factor of $X$ is $p^{e}$ with multiplicity $r$ if and only if $X_{0}=\cdots=X_{e-1}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{e}\right)=r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ be the Smith normal form of $X$. The smallest invariant factor of $X$ is $p^{e}$ with multiplicity $r$ if and only if $\alpha_{1}=\ldots=\alpha_{r}=$ $p^{e} \neq \alpha_{r+1}$.

Let $d_{i}(X)$ denote the greatest common divisor of all $i \times i$ minors of $X$, normalized to a power of $p$. In particular, $d_{0}(X)=1$ and $d_{i}(X)=0$ for all $i>n$.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Suppose that $X_{0}=\cdots=X_{e-1}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{e}\right)=r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then, $X=p^{e} X^{\prime}$ where

$$
X^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-e-1} p^{i} X_{i}
$$

has rank $r$, so $d_{i}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$ while $d_{r+1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. Since

$$
d_{i}(X)=d_{i}\left(p^{e} X^{\prime}\right)=p^{e i} d_{i}\left(X^{\prime}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\alpha_{i}=\frac{d_{i}(X)}{d_{i-1}(X)}=p^{e} \frac{d_{i}\left(X^{\prime}\right)}{d_{i-1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)},
$$

so $\alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{r}=p^{e} \neq \alpha_{r+1}$.
$(\Longrightarrow)$ Suppose that $\alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{r}=p^{e} \neq \alpha_{r+1}$. Then, $d_{1}(X)=p^{e}$, so every entry of $X$ is a multiple of $p^{e}$. Hence, $X_{0}=\ldots=X_{e-1}=0$, so $X=p^{e} X^{\prime}$ where

$$
X^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{k-e-1} p^{i} X_{i} .
$$

Reasoning analogously to the previous case, we see that $d_{r}(X)=p^{e r}$ and thus $d_{r}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$, whereas $d_{r+1}(X) \neq p^{e(r+1)}$ and thus $d_{r+1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{rank}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=r$, so $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{e}\right)=r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

The following lemma relates the cokernel of a matrix in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ to the cokernel of its lift in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ under the identification $\mathbb{Z}_{p} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.15. Suppose that $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a lift of $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq \operatorname{cok}(X) / p^{k} \operatorname{cok}(X)
$$

Proof. We have $\operatorname{cok}(X)=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} / \operatorname{im}(X)$ and $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X})=\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n} / \operatorname{im}(\bar{X})$. Consider the epimorphism $f: \operatorname{cok}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{cok}(\bar{X})$ defined by $f([v])=\left[v \bmod p^{k}\right]$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$. Since $f$ factors through the projection $\operatorname{cok}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{cok}(X) / p^{k} \operatorname{cok}(X)$, we obtain the epimorphism

$$
\tilde{f}: \operatorname{cok}(X) / p^{k} \operatorname{cok}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{cok}(\bar{X})
$$

defined by $\tilde{f}([v])=\left[v \bmod p^{k}\right]$ for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$.
It remains to show that $\tilde{f}$ is a monomorphism. Suppose that $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ and $\tilde{f}(u)=\tilde{f}(v)$. Then, $(u-v) \bmod p^{k} \in \operatorname{im}(\bar{X})$, so $(u-v) \bmod p^{k}=\bar{X} \bar{w}$ for some $\bar{w} \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{n}$. Fixing a lift $w \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ of $\bar{w}$, we have

$$
u-v \equiv X w \quad\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)
$$

so $[u]=[v]$ in $\operatorname{cok}(X) / p^{k} \operatorname{cok}(X)$.
Therefore, $\tilde{f}$ is an isomorphism.
We can extend Lemma 2.14 to matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ using Lemma 2.15.
Corollary 2.16. Suppose that the matrix $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
X=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^{i} X_{i}
$$

for some positive integer $k$, where each $X_{i}$ takes entries in $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$. For any nonnegative integer $e \leq k$ and positive integer $r \leq n$, the smallest invariant factor of $X$ is $p^{e}$ with multiplicity $r$ if and only if $X_{0}=\cdots=X_{e-1}=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{e}\right)=r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

### 2.4 Congruence

Definition 2.17 (congruence). Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ matrices over a commutative ring $R$. The matrices $A$ and $B$ are congruent if $B=Q A Q^{\top}$ for some matrix $Q \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$.

Remark 2.18. Matrix congruence is an equivalence relation on $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$. Given $Q \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$, the map $X \mapsto Q X Q^{\top}$ is an automorphism of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ that preserves symmetry.

The following corollary of Lemma 7 on [1, p. 391] shows that every symmetric matrix over a field is congruent to a relatively simple matrix.

Lemma 2.19 ([1, p. 391]). Any symmetric matrix over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is congruent to some matrix of the form

$$
\operatorname{diag}(0, A)
$$

where 0 is a zero matrix and $A$ is an invertible symmetric matrix over $\mathbb{F}$.

### 2.5 Partitions

Definition 2.20 (partition). A partition

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)
$$

is a finite sequence of positive integers $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r}$. We define

$$
|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad n(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}(i-1) \lambda_{i} .
$$

Definition 2.21 (type of a finitely generated torsion module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ ). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated torsion module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8. The type of $G$ is the partition

$$
(\underbrace{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}}_{r_{1} \text { copies of } e_{1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{e_{s}, \ldots, e_{s}}_{r_{s} \text { copies of } e_{s}}) .
$$

### 2.6 Useful enumerations

In this section, we review some enumerations used in the proof of Theorem 1.6. We start with a well-known formula for the number of $n \times n$ matrices over a finite field with a given rank.

Lemma 2.22 ([11, p. 6]). Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field and $r$ be an integer such that $0 \leq r \leq n$. Then

$$
\#\left\{X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right): \operatorname{rank}(X)=r\right\}=\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\left(q^{n}-q^{i}\right)^{2}}{q^{r}-q^{i}}=q^{r(2 n-r)} \frac{\phi_{n}(q)^{2}}{\phi_{r}(q) \phi_{n-r}(q)^{2}}
$$

In particular, setting $r=n$ gives

$$
\left|\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(q^{n}-q^{i}\right)=q^{n^{2}} \phi_{n}(q)
$$

The following formula is the analogue of Lemma 2.22 for symmetric matrices.
Lemma 2.23 ([10, pp. 154-155]). Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field and $r$ be an integer such that $0 \leq r \leq n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right): \operatorname{rank}(X)=r\right\} & =\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor r / 2\rfloor} \frac{q^{2 i}}{q^{2 i}-1} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}\left(q^{n-i}-1\right) \\
& =q^{r(2 n-r+1) / 2} \frac{\phi_{n}(q)}{\phi_{n-r}(q) \psi_{r}(q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following formula calculates $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|$ in terms of the product decomposition of a finitely generated module $G$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.24 ([7, p. 236]). Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| & =\prod_{i=1}^{s} q^{-r_{i}^{2}}\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r_{i}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{s} q^{\min \left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) r_{i} r_{j}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \phi_{r_{i}}(q) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{s} q^{\min \left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) r_{i} r_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

A major step toward proving Theorem 1.6 is to consider the special case where $n=r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)$. Let $X \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and let $\bar{X} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy $X \equiv \bar{X}(\bmod p)$. Suppose $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)=n-\operatorname{rank}(\bar{X})$, we see that $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)$ if and only if $\bar{X}$ is the zero matrix.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}
$$

and

$$
u=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G\right)= \begin{cases}r_{1} & \text { if } e_{1}=k \\ 0 & \text { if } e_{1}<k\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $s$. We consider two base cases.
The first base case is when $G$ is trivial, so $r=s=u=0$ and $|G|=$ $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}=1}
\end{aligned}
$$

in this case.
The second base case is when $G \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r}$, so $s=1, e_{1}=k$, and $u=r$. For any $X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ if and only if $X=0$, so

$$
\#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\}=1
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{p^{k r+k r^{2}} \phi_{r}(p)}} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{r}(p)}{\phi_{r}(p)} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r}(p)}}{\psi_{r}(p)}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemmas 2.22 and 2.24, concluding the proof for this case.
Now, we provide a proof of the inductive step. Suppose that the result holds for $G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}$ and consider the finitely generated module

$$
G^{\prime} \simeq G \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{s+1}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{s+1}}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$, where $e_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are positive integers such that $k \geq e_{1}>\cdots>e_{s+1}$. Let $r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}$ and $r^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(G^{\prime} / p G^{\prime}\right)=r+r_{s+1}$. Our choice of base cases allow us to assume that $e_{s+1}<k$, so we may write $u=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G^{\prime}\right)$. We would like to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)}}{\left|G^{\prime}\right|\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s+1} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

To this end, consider an arbitrary matrix $X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G^{\prime}$. It follows from Corollary 2.16 that $X^{\prime}=p^{e_{s+1}} X_{0}+p^{e_{s+1}+1} X_{1}$ for some symmetric $X_{0}$ taking entries in $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and symmetric $X_{1}$ taking entries in $\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{k-e_{s+1}-1}-1\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\left(X_{0}\right)=r_{s+1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. There are

$$
p^{r_{s+1}\left(2 r^{\prime}-r_{s+1}+1\right) / 2} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p)}{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{r_{s+1}}(p)}=p^{r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}+1\right) / 2} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p)}{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{r_{s+1}}(p)}
$$

choices for $X_{0}$ by Lemma 2.23.
Fix some choice of $X_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 2.19 that there is some $\bar{Q} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{Q} X_{0} \bar{Q}^{\top}=\operatorname{diag}(0, \Sigma)
$$

where $\Sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r_{s+1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is invertible. Pick an arbitrary lift $Q \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\bar{Q}$. The map $X^{\prime} \mapsto Q X^{\prime} Q^{\top}$ is an automorphism on $\operatorname{Sym}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and $\operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(Q X^{\prime} Q^{\top}\right)$. Hence, we may assume $X_{0}=\operatorname{diag}(0, \Sigma)$ without loss of generality.

Write

$$
X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
B^{\top} & C
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A, B$, and $C$ are $r \times r, r \times r_{s+1}$, and $r_{s+1} \times r_{s+1}$ matrices respectively, all taking entries in $\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{k-e_{s+1}-1}-1\right\}$. Note that $A$ and $C$ are symmetric. Leaving $A$ unchosen, there are $p^{\left(k-e_{s+1}-1\right) r r_{s+1}}$ choices for $B$ and $p^{\left(k-e_{s+1}-1\right) r_{s+1}\left(r_{s+1}+1\right) / 2}$ choices for $C$.

Fix some choice of $B$ and some choice of $C$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$
P X^{\prime} P^{\top}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p^{e_{s+1}+1}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right) & 0 \\
0 & p^{e_{s+1}}(\Sigma+p C)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{r} & -p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} \\
0 & I_{r_{s+1}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and $I_{m}$ denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Corollary 2.16 shows that the $r_{s+1} \times r_{s+1}$ matrix $p^{e_{s+1}}(\Sigma+p C)$ has an invariant factor $p^{e_{s+1}}$ with multiplicity $r_{s+1}$, so

$$
\operatorname{cok}\left(p^{e_{s+1}}(\Sigma+p C)\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{s+1}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{s+1}}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) & \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(P X^{\prime} P^{\top}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(p^{e_{s+1}+1}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right)\right) \oplus \operatorname{cok}\left(p^{e_{s+1}}(\Sigma+p C)\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(p^{e_{s+1}+1}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{s+1}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{s+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

it remains to count the number of choices for $A$ such that

$$
\operatorname{cok}\left(p^{e_{s+1}+1}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right)\right) \simeq G .
$$

The inductive hypothesis shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.16 shows that any such $X$ satisfies $X \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{e_{s}}\right)$. Since $e_{s} \geq$ $e_{s+1}+1$, there is a unique $A$ such that

$$
X=p^{e_{s+1}+1}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right) .
$$

The choice of $A$ concludes the determination of $X^{\prime}$.
Multiplying the quantities involved in this process, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =p^{r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}+1\right) / 2} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p)}{\psi_{r_{s+1}}(p) \phi_{r}(p)} p^{\left(k-e_{s+1}-1\right) r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}+1\right) / 2} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)+\left(k-e_{s+1}\right) r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}+1\right)}}{\phi_{r_{s+1}}(p)|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s+1} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)-e_{s+1} r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}+1\right)}}{\phi_{r_{s+1}}(p)|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s+1} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{\left|G^{\prime}\right|}{|G|}=p^{e_{s+1} r_{s+1}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}=p^{e_{s+1} r_{s+1}\left(2 r+r_{s+1}\right)} \phi_{r_{s+1}}(p)
$$

by Lemmas 2.22 and 2.24. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)}}{\left|G^{\prime}\right|\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}} \frac{\phi_{r^{\prime}}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s+1} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the induction.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.6 in the general case.
Proof of Theorem 1.6. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we may assume $\bar{X}=$ $\operatorname{diag}(0, \Sigma)$ without loss of generality.

Write

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
B^{\top} & C
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A, B$, and $C$ are $r \times r, r \times(n-r)$, and $(n-r) \times(n-r)$ matrices respectively, all taking entries in $\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{k-1}-1\right\}$. Note that $A$ and $C$ are symmetric. Leaving $A$ unchosen, there are $p^{(k-1) r(n-r)}$ choices for $B$ and $p^{(k-1)(n-r)(n-r+1) / 2}$ choices for $C$.

Fix some choice of $B$ and some choice of $C$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$
P X P^{\top}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top} & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma+p C
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{r} & -p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} \\
0 & I_{n-r}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and $I_{m}$ denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Since $\Sigma+p C$ is invertible, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cok}(X) & \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(P X P^{\top}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right) \oplus \operatorname{cok}(\Sigma+p C) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{cok}\left(A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so it remains to count the number of choices for $A$ such that $\operatorname{cok}(A-p B(\Sigma+$ $\left.p C)^{-1} B^{\top}\right) \simeq G$.

Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{A^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{r}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(A) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any such $A^{\prime}$, there is a unique $A$ such that

$$
A^{\prime}=A-p B(\Sigma+p C)^{-1} B^{\top} .
$$

The choice of $A$ concludes the determination of $X$.

Multiplying the quantities involved in this process, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \\
\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G \\
\operatorname{and} X \equiv \bar{X} \quad(\bmod p)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =p^{(k-1)(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k r(r+1)+(k-1)(n-r)(n+r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{(k-1) n(n+1)+r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \frac{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Theorem 1.6 implies Theorem 1.5

In order to count the total number of matrices $X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$, we multiply the result of Theorem 1.6 by the number of residue $\bar{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\bar{X} \simeq G / p G$.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ with the product decomposition

$$
G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

as specified in Corollary 2.8. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k n(n+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{n}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p) \psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
r=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(G / p G)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_{i}
$$

and

$$
u=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(p^{k-1} G\right)= \begin{cases}r_{1} & \text { if } e_{1}=k \\ 0 & \text { if } e_{1}<k\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For any residue $\bar{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$, the condition $\operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{rank}(\bar{X})=n-r$. Hence,

$$
\#\left\{\bar{X} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}): \operatorname{cok}(\bar{X}) \simeq G / p G\right\}=p^{(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2} \frac{\phi_{n}(p)}{\phi_{r}(p) \psi_{n-r}(p)}
$$

by Lemma 2.23. Multiplying this count by the result of Theorem 1.6, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{X \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G\right\} \\
& =p^{(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p^{(k-1) n(n+1)+r(r+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{n}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p) \psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{p^{k n(n+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{n}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p) \psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we apply Lemma 4.1 to prove Theorem 1.5. We emphasize that this argument is different than the one appearing in [5].

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Pick any $k>e_{1}$, so $p^{k} G=0$. For a random matrix $X$ selected from $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ with respect to additive Haar measure, its residue $X^{\prime}$ modulo $p^{k}$ is uniformly distributed in $\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and $\operatorname{cok}(X) \simeq G$ if and only if $\operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G$. Therefore, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}^{\mathrm{Sym}}(\lambda) & =\frac{\#\left\{X^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right): \operatorname{cok}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \simeq G\right\}}{\left|\operatorname{Sym}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{k} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right|} \\
& =p^{-k n(n+1) / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p^{k n(n+1)}}{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{n}(p) \psi_{u}(p)}{\phi_{u}(p) \psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G||\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}} \frac{\phi_{n}(p)}{\psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{r_{i}}(p)}}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 4.1 (note that $u=0$ ). Since

$$
|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{s} p^{e_{i} r_{i}}=p^{|\lambda|}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\operatorname{Aut}(G)| & =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \phi_{r_{i}}(p) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{s} p^{\min \left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) r_{i} r_{j}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \phi_{r_{i}}(p) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{r} p^{\min \left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \phi_{r_{i}}(p) \prod_{i=1}^{r} p^{\lambda_{i}(2 i-1)} \\
& =p^{2 n(\lambda)+|\lambda|} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \phi_{r_{i}}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.24, we have

$$
P_{n}^{\mathrm{Sym}}(\lambda)=p^{-n(\lambda)-|\lambda|} \frac{\phi_{n}(p)}{\psi_{n-r}(p)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{\psi_{r_{i}}(p)}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original result was stated in terms of $\operatorname{cok}(X-I)$ instead of $\operatorname{cok}(X)$, where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. This does not affect counting since the map $X \mapsto X-I$ is bijective.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The original result was more generally stated in terms of a polynomial pushforward $P(X)$ of the matrix $X$. We are concerned with the special case $P(t)=t$.

