1

2024 S.T. Yau High School Science Award (Asia)

Research Report

The Team

Registration Number: Phy-145

Name of team member: Lin, yong-zhang School: Kaohsiung Municipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool

Name of team member: LIN, Jun-Yi

School: Taipei Municipal Chien Kuo High School

Name of team member: Lo, ta-chao

School: Kaohsiung Municipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool

Name of supervising teacher: Lu, Cheng-Liang

Jo unicipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool

media

Date

14 August 2024

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to appreciate to professor Yung-Yuan Hsu for his guidance on this paper and for contributions to the construction of the experiment equipment. 2024 S.-T. Yau High School Science Award

LAST TOOM WAS SCROON

Commitments on Academic Honesty and Integrity

We hereby declare that we

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

1. are fully committed to the principle of honesty, integrity and fair play throughout the

2. actually perform the research work ourselves and thus truly understand the content of the

2. actually perform the research wo 7. observe the safety regulations of the laboratory(ies) where the we conduct the explicable.

applicable.

8. observe all rules and regulations of the competition,

9. agree that the decision of YHSA(Asia) is final in al

Name of supervising teacher:

虚改良

(signature)

 54

Name of school principal:

Wetting Tracing Paper Curling Behavior and Penetration of Fiber Porous Media $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}}$ Wetting Tracing Paper Curling Behavior and

Penetration of Fiber Porous Media

Lin, Yong-Zhang

LIN, Jun-Yi Lo, Ta, Gilles

CON

CON

CON

Lin, Yong-Zhang LIN, Jun-Yi Lo, Ta-Chao

LAST TOOM HIST SCROON

Contents ⁴

Abstract 25

This study delves into the curling behavior of tracing paper when exposed to wa- 26 ter, a phenomenon of interest due to its relevance in various applications involving 27 fibrous materials. Tracing paper, treated with sulfuric acid for translucency, exhibits 28 a distinct response to moisture characterized by an immediate curling followed by 29 a gradual uncurling. Our research combines experimental techniques and theoret- 30 ical models to investigate these processes. We utilized slow-motion videography to 31 capture the paper's deformation and employed Gaussian curvature measurements 32 alongside Richards' equation to model the dynamics of water absorption and evap- 33 oration. 34

Key findings reveal that the paper's rapid curling is driven by differential swelling 35 across its surface, resulting in a pronounced cylindrical shape. This initial deform- 36 ation is influenced by the paper's unique pore structure and the directional nature 37 of its cellulose fibers. The uncurling phase, characterized by a slower, temperature- 38 dependent relaxation, aligns with exponential decay, suggesting that evaporation 39 and water diffusion within the paper are crucial factors. Notably, increasing the 40 temperature accelerates both the curling and uncurling processes, enhancing peak 41 curvature due to elevated diffusivity and evaporation rates. 42 2022 S. The mean of the study controller is a studied to the study of the stud

Furthermore, the study explores the impact of saline solutions, discovering that $\frac{43}{43}$ higher salt concentrations lead to more pronounced curling. This is attributed to the $\frac{44}{40}$ salt's influence on the paper's mechanical properties and the rate of water absorp- 45 tion. These insights contribute to a nuanced understanding of how fibrous materials 46 interact with water, particularly under varying environmental conditions. 47 and water diffusion within the paper are crucial factors. Notably, incread temperature accelerates both the curing and unquiring processes, enhance curvature due to elevated diffusivity and evaporation rates.

Furthermore,

Keywords: Capillary, Richard's Equation, Diffusion, Porous Media, Fiber, ⁴⁸

1. Introduction $\frac{49}{49}$

When a tracing paper is gently placed on a water surface, the paper rapidly curls and then 50 slowly uncurls. Investigating this phenomenon is significant as it provides insights into 51 the interactions between water and fibrous materials, specifically cellulose fibers. Tra- ⁵² cing paper, which is often treated with sulfuric acid, has a dense, low-connectivity pore $\overline{}$ structure. This structure influences how water penetrates and spreads within the mater- ⁵⁴ ial, showcasing the paper's physical and chemical properties during the wetting process. ⁵⁵ Additionally, tracing paper is sensitive to humidity, which can further affect its interac- 56 tion with water. Understanding this behavior has practical implications, especially in ⁵⁷ evaluating how industrial fibrous papers with curl issues are affected by water interaction 58

1.1 Observations: \bigvee_{1}^{\bullet} 59

Figure 1: Here we use a container to hold the water. We suspend a nylon string directly on the surface of water to pin the tracing paper in place. When putting tracing paper, we lift the nylon string above the water and use tweezers to place the tracing paper under the string.

Preliminary Observation: 61

When the tracing paper was placed on the water's surface, it was observed that the paper 62 initially curled into a cylindrical shape before gradually and slowly uncurling. To better 63 understand this phenomenon, the curvature of the tracing paper was analyzed over time. ⁶⁴

Figure 2: From the result we observed that

Analysis-Curvature 66

The curvature of tracing paper interacting with water was analyzed using a slow-motion 67 camera positioned parallel to the cylindrical shapes formed during curling. Gaussian ⁶⁸ curvature $(\mathcal{K} = \kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2)$ was used to describe the surface's curvature, where κ_1 and κ_2 are 69 the principal curvatures. As the paper curled into a cylindrical shape, it exhibited Zero 70 Gauss Curvature because one curvature was non-zero $(\kappa_1 \neq 0)$, while the perpendicular 71 curvature was zero $(\kappa_2 = 0)$. This results in a developable surface, characteristic of τ_2 cylindrical shapes. The contract of the contra 仅用于2024丘成桐中学科学奖公示

1.2 Pore and Fiber Aspect-Droplet Experiment 74

Since tracing paper is a fibrous and porous material (celluouse fiber, we concern that the 75 mechanism of capillary of capillary imbibition in two aspects: $\frac{76}{20}$

To clarify that the capillary mechanism is in the result of whether pore or fiber con- ⁷⁷ cerns, we initially did an experiment(Droplet Experiment). Here, we use a pipetmen in ⁷⁸ 10μ m amount of water(We chose such a small amount of water specifically to minimize τ the influence of gravity) then drop on tracing paper, forming a droplet. Then use a digital so microscope to observe the droplet on hydrophobic and hydrophilic concerns. ⁸¹

When a water droplet comes into contact with tracing paper, the contact angle 82 provides critical insight into the interaction between the water and the paper's fiber ⁸³ network. If the fibers are hydrophobic, the capillary action within the fiber web of the ⁸⁴ tracing paper is significantly reduced. This occurs because hydrophobic fibers repel wa- ⁸⁵

ter, preventing the liquid from infiltrating the microstructures of the paper. As a result, 86 the typical capillary forces that would otherwise draw water into the fiber network are ⁸⁷ absent, leading to minimal or no swelling and a lack of observable wrinkling. Conversely, 88 if the fibers are hydrophilic, the capillary action is more pronounced, allowing water to be ⁸⁹ absorbed into the fiber network. This absorption induces swelling as the water infiltrates \sim 90 and expands the cellulose fibers, leading to noticeable wrinkling and deformation of the $\boxed{91}$

Figure 4

Figure 5: From fig(a) we can see that the 10 um droplet under digital microscope is hydrophobic. However, we still can see tracing paper swell after a period of time, in fig(b) below is a 10 ml of droplet on tracing paper after time, which can better show the description that mentioned. Also, we found out the curling direction of tracing paper when placed on water surface is always perpendicular to the direction of the wrinkle, which recalling to that curling direction is CD Direction that **1.2.5** discussed further.

Figure 6: Here we use sandpaper to rub tracing paper then again drop a water droplet diffuse after time

According to out experimental result, we qualitatively says that tracing paper is hy- ⁹³ drophobic on the outer layer and hydrophilic in the inner layer.

Swelling Mechanism 95

1.2.1 Introduction 96

The swelling mechanism of tracing paper is a complex interplay between its hydrophobic ⁹⁷ outer layer and hydrophilic inner layers. Initial water resistance is overcome through ca- ⁹⁸ pillary action, allowing water to reach the absorbent cellulose fibers beneath the surface. This process is primarily driven by pore sorption, suggesting that while the outer layer re- 100 pels water, the inner structure's hydrophilicity leads to eventual swelling. Understanding ¹⁰¹ this mechanism, particularly the role of coatings and the dual-layer structure, is essential ¹⁰² for applications where the moisture resistance and swelling behavior of tracing paper are ¹⁰³ critical factors. 104

1.2.2 Observations and Hydrophobic Nature of Tracing Paper 105

In recent droplet experiments conducted on tracing paper, a unique swelling mechanism 106 was observed that did not align with typical water absorption behaviors seen in other 107 cellulose-based papers. Both vertical and perpendicular applications of water droplets ¹⁰⁸ revealed that the water front did not diffuse after a prolonged period, indicating a distinct ¹⁰⁹ interaction between the tracing paper's surface and water. This behavior highlights the ¹¹⁰ hydrophobic characteristics of the outer layer of tracing paper, which plays a crucial role 111 in its initial resistance to swelling. \sim 112

The hydrophobicity of tracing paper is primarily due to its outer layer, which has 113 been treated or coated to repel water. Hydrophobic surfaces typically resist water penet- ¹¹⁴ ration, leading to delayed or minimal swelling. This behavior can be explained through ¹¹⁵ the mechanism of hydrogen bonding, which governs the interaction between water and ¹¹⁶ cellulose fibers. According to Klemm et al. (1998), the hydrogen bonds within cellulose ¹¹⁷ fibers are crucial in determining water absorption properties. In cellulose, the hydroxyl ¹¹⁸ groups form hydrogen bonds with water molecules, facilitating water absorption and sub- ¹¹⁹ sequent swelling. However, when a hydrophobic coating is applied to the surface, these 120 interactions are significantly hindered, preventing immediate swelling upon contact with ¹²¹ water. \blacksquare 122 ouce rare can a propular inner anyon matrix measure measure instant with external time with the measure and the hypothesis of the measure in the measure of this process is primarily driven by pore sorption, suggesting th was observed that did not align with typical water absorption behaviors cellulose-based papers. Both vertical and perpendicular applications of vevealed that the water front did not diffuse after
a prolonged period, indic

 $1.2.3$ Inner Hydrophilic Layer and Capillary Action 123

Despite the initial resistance due to hydrophobicity, tracing paper does eventually swell 124 over time, indicating that its inner layers are hydrophilic. This delayed swelling suggests ¹²⁵ that water penetration is not primarily due to the fibers themselves but rather through ¹²⁶ capillary action within the pores of the paper. 127

This capillary action, driven by the pore sorption mechanism described by the Richard- ¹²⁸ son equation, allows water to be drawn into the microscopic pores of the paper, bypassing ¹²⁹ the hydrophobic barrier. Initially, it was assumed that the swelling mechanism was domin- ¹³⁰ ated by fiber sorption, where water enters the fiber through parallel cylindrical capillaries, ¹³¹

leading to swelling. However, since tracing paper exhibits hydrophobic properties, this ¹³² fiber sorption mechanism appears insufficient. The hydrophobic nature suggests that ¹³³ while the outer layer of the paper repels water, the inner layers may possess hydrophilic 134 characteristics. As water penetrates through capillary action into these inner layers, it ¹³⁵ causes the cellulose fibers to absorb water, resulting in swelling.

1.2.4 Coating and Hydrophobicity Considerations 137

The hydrophobic nature of the outer layer in tracing paper is often a result of specific ¹³⁸ treatments or coatings applied during its manufacturing. According to Kjellgren (2007), ¹³⁹ the barrier properties of greaseproof paper, including tracing paper, are significantly in- ¹⁴⁰ fluenced by polymer coatings applied to enhance their resistance to moisture and grease. 141 These coatings contribute to the paper's hydrophobic characteristics, preventing water ¹⁴² from penetrating the surface and thus delaying swelling. However, once the water over- 143 comes this barrier, likely through capillary action into the pores, it reaches the inner, ¹⁴⁴ hydrophilic layers, where it can cause swelling. 1.2.4 Costing and Hydrophobicity Considerations

The hydrophobic multine of the onter have in trading paper is often a result of Partici:

1224 Costing a repubble during its manifeaturing. According to Kjellappe 2007;

th According the Library where it can cause swelling.

1.2.5 Curling Direction-Fiber Orientation 146

In order to use a string to put the paper in place, we shall first predict in what axis the ¹⁴⁷ paper will curl; otherwise, the string may affect its curling motion.We define the curling ¹⁴⁸ direction as the axis of the cylinder formed by the tracing paper. It is seen by cutting the 149 piece of paper at different angles that the axis of scrolling is always parallel to one of the ¹⁵⁰ original edges. (observation, Side A,B C will describe in Figure Two)

By observing the expansion of a piece of fully wet paper, we see that it displays an ¹⁵² apparent anisotropy in two perpendicular directions parallel to its original edges. \Box 153

The direction with higher expansion corresponds to the Cross Direction (CD), which is ¹⁵⁴ perpendicular to the fiber orientation direction, Machine Direction (MD) DeRuvo1973.) ¹⁵⁵

These terms originate from the manufacture of paper. As intuition would suggest, the 156 height of the cylindrical shape produced by scrolling is perpendicular to CD, indicating 157 that the direction with higher expansion dominates the scrolling. 158

Figure 7: Cut an unaltered piece of paper direct from manufacture in different angles. Their axis of curling are all the same, parallel to the Side B. In this case, Side A corresponds to CD, Side B is MD.

Figure 8: The three graphs are that we tracking curvature of tracing paper in A,B,C directions under different thickness which in Fig.6 mentioned. From the experimental result of curvature to time we can found out two key insights. Firstly, from the three graph we all can found out that when thickness increases peak curvature decreases, peak time increases, which will be qualitatively explained in parameters discussion further. Secondly, from the three graphs we can also find that curvature with same thickness in different angle(A,B,C) is different, since tracing paper have been cut in different angle, the MD/CD length differs.

Figure 9: The saturated expansion rate (strain) of a 10x10mm piece of paper is found. It differs greatly in two perpendicular directions.

1.2.6 Cylinders Formation 159

Depending on different initial conditions, the geometry the tracing paper will produce ¹⁶⁰ vary. If the CD length is less than the circumference produced by the peak curvature ¹⁶¹ reached, then the two ends of tracing paper will not touch, which we call the 0-scroll. ¹⁶² This case is the main phenomenon of study, since it is minimally affected by factors such ¹⁶³ as friction. If the CD length is bigger than the threshold, then it will curl into a cylindrical 164 shape, dubbed 1-scroll. Whether it sinks at the peak curvature or not depends on whether ¹⁶⁵ the gravity exceeds surface surface tension, and they are in turn related to the ratio of MD ¹⁶⁶ and CD length and the peak curvature. If the paper is not released perfectly flat, then ¹⁶⁷ the two ends will curl at a different time and amount, thus one end will curl and touch the ¹⁶⁸ water, producing a scroll in opposite direction, and finally sink and uncurl underwater. If 169 the paper is released flat enough such that the two ends collide and force each other into ¹⁷⁰ cylinders, it will form two cylinders, which we call the 2-scroll. \bigcup 171

Figure 11: Failure Case

2. Quantitative 172

2.0.1 Diffusion Model Discussion 173

It is argued that capillary effect is not involved by showing that surfactants does not ¹⁷⁴ affect the curvature (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011). However, it should be noted that ¹⁷⁵ surfactant molecules cannot penetrate cellulose surfaces in aqueous solutions (Penfold et al. 2007). Since surfactant molecules cannot affect the water that goes into the paper, the ¹⁷⁷ capillary effects must not be ruled out. Moreover, we could see later (9) that the math- ¹⁷⁸ ematical representation of Fick's law is merely a limiting case of the Richards equation. ¹⁷⁹ This suggests a broader view be obtained when capillary effect is considered, rather than ¹⁸⁰ assuming a limiting case.

2.0.2 Capillary Model Discussion 182

The capillary model proposed by (Washburn 1921) is well-known and is applicable to ¹⁸³ many cases of porous materials. However, (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011) proposed that ¹⁸⁴ this model failed to account for the whole phenomenon. Literature (Huinink, Ruijten, ¹⁸⁵ and Arends 2016; Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez-Gonzalez 2017) shows that a key ¹⁸⁶ assumption of the Washburn law is the binary distribution of water content in a porous ¹⁸⁷ media, and we think this is why the model fails to explain the results. To improve on ¹⁸⁸ this, (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016) proposed a model that accounts for the gradual ¹⁸⁹ transition of water and air regime, allowing non-sharp boundary of water and air. 190 affect the envrature (Reyesst and Mahadewan 2011). However, it should be somed that gated
stractation molecules cannot paractic eclubios surfaces in a
quotients (Particle ($\frac{1}{2}$ and 2007). Since surfactant molecules c The captural model proposer by (washibutin 1921) is well-wind as
my cases of porous materials. However, (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011) is
many cases of porous materials. However, (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011) is
model failed

To begin the discussion of capillary model, describing how water moves in paper is ¹⁹² critical. To quantitatively describe how much water is in the paper at a specific location ¹⁹³ z and time t, we follow (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016)volumetric water content as ¹⁹⁴ the ratio of water and the total volume, 195

We define the volumetric water content as the ratio of water and the total volume ¹⁹⁶ the bibliography. \blacksquare

198

$$
\theta = \frac{V_{H_2O}}{V_{H_2O} + V_{cellulose}}\tag{1}
$$

Figure 12: The coordinate axis of the paper. The origin of z axis is set at the water surface, and the direction is perpendicular to local tangent plane of paper.

Here, Fig.12 shows that the water content is dependent of z, but not in the x and y 199 axis of paper because of symmetry. 200

Water content is seen to linearly increase the strain of paper without producing recov- 201 ering stress. According to Nissan1976, Young's modulus decreases exponentially with ²⁰² volumetric water content. The decay coefficient may be different for various materials, ²⁰³ and it is fitted in our case to be -24.32. Figure 12: The coordinate axis of the paper. The origin of z axis is set surface, and the direction is perpendicular to local tangent plane of paper.

Here, Fig.12 shows that the water content is dependent of z, but not i

$$
E(\theta) = E_0 e^{-24.32\theta} \tag{2}
$$

By capillary reasoning, Richards equation can be used to model water penetration ²⁰⁵ through porous media Huinink2016. 206

$$
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = D_0 \frac{d}{dz} \left(\theta^n \frac{d\theta}{dz} \right) \tag{3}
$$

in which D_0 is called "diffusivity" for convenience. It should be noted that D_0 is of 207 capillary nature, and there is no diffusion involved. The exponent n takes a value of, 208

$$
n = 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda} \tag{4}
$$

Where λ is the pore distribution index **Huinink2016**, which is smaller when the pore 209 sizes are near, we arrive that, 210

$$
D = D_0 \theta^n, \quad n = 2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{5}
$$

 D_0 can also be written explicitly, when cylendrical pores is assumed 212

LAST TOOM HIST SCROON

$$
D_0 = \frac{\gamma \cos \alpha r}{4\mu\lambda} \tag{6}
$$

When trying to fit the experimental data, (Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez- 213 Gonzalez 2017) uses an exponential value of 1.1, which is contradictory against what 214 (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016) had proposed. We think it may illuminate the fact ²¹⁵ that the assumption of cylindrical pore does not apply. Gonzalez 2017) uses an exponential value of 1.1, which is contradictory against what χ

(Huining, Ruijica, and Arands 2016) had proposed. We think it may illuminate the disc $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{226}$

that the assumption of cylin

2.1 Richard's Derivation 217

The path of Huinink2016 would be followed to derive Richards equation. The law of ²¹⁸ mass conservation is well-known, 219

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \tag{7}
$$

Since paper is a porous media, Darcy's law applies, 223

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(\theta)}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial p(\theta)}{\partial x} + \rho g \hat{z} \right) \right)
$$

Where k is the permeability involved in Darcy's law, μ is the liquid viscosity, p is the 227 pressure in the pore, ρ is the liquid density, g is the gravitational acceleration, \hat{z} is the 228 unit vector in the direction of gravity. It is known that the pressure can be written as 229 Since paper is a porous media, Darcy's law applies,
 $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$

Since paper is a porous media, Darcy's law applies,
 $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(\theta)}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial p(\theta)}{\partial x} + \rho g \right) \right)$

Where k is the permeatidit pressure in the porc, ρ is the liquid density, g is the gravitational accelera
unit vector in the direction of gravity. It is known than the pressure can be
 $p = p_0 \cdot p_2(\theta)$
Where p_0 is the equilibrium pressure of

$$
p = p_0 - p_c(\theta) \tag{9}
$$

Where p_0 is the equilibrium pressure of liquid and air, p_c is the pressure provided by 230 capillary action. Rewriting the equation and ignoring gravity, ²³¹

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(\theta)}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial p_c(\theta)}{\partial x} \right) \right)
$$
 (10)

233 234

Packing the coefficients, 235

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(D \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \tag{11}
$$

236 237

240

With the value of D given by, 238 239 k

$$
D = -\frac{k}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \theta} \tag{12}
$$

Given the simplified Brooks-Corey relationship, 241

$$
p_c(\theta) = p_{c0} \theta^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \quad k(\theta) = k_{\text{max}} \theta^{3 + \frac{2}{\lambda}}
$$
 (13)

2.2 Mere Limiting Case-Diffusive Mathematical Formula 242

It is seen now that the diffusive mathematical formula(Fick's law) can be seen as a mere ²⁴³ limiting case of the Richards equation (10) at late time, when the water content is close ²⁴⁴ to saturation everywhere. ²⁴⁵

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = D_{\text{sat}} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z^2}, \quad D_{\text{sat}} = D_0 \theta_{\text{sat}}^n \tag{14}
$$

The boundary condition at the bottom $(z=0)$ states that paper remain saturated in con- 248 tact with water; at the top there may be effects of evaporation, which is not considered ²⁴⁹ significant given the time duration of the problem. However, we consider it critical as it 250 can account for the deviation for the uncurling phase. \mathbb{Z}_1 251

$$
\theta(0,t) = \theta_{sat}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{dz}(h,t) = \frac{q}{AD_{\theta}}\tag{15}
$$

 $\theta_{sat} = 0.33$ is the saturated volumetric water content, h is the paper thickness, q is the 252 volumetric evaporation rate, A is the surface area of paper. θ_{sat} is measured by weighing 253 the paper before and after dipping in water, and converted to volumetric ratio by density ²⁵⁴ of water and paper, both measured in a short enough duration of time. ²⁵⁵

For quantitative prediction of curvature, we consider the net strain (ϵ) and stress 256 (σ) produced by curvature being canceled by the strain introduced by water penetration 257 $\text{Re} \text{yssat2011}.$ 258

$$
\epsilon_{\kappa} = \kappa(z - z_n) \tag{16}
$$

$$
\epsilon_{\theta} = \epsilon_{sat} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{sat}} \tag{17}
$$

246

$$
\sigma = E(\epsilon_{\kappa} - \epsilon_{\theta}) \tag{18}
$$

Where κ is the curvature, z is the position in the axis of paper thickness, z_n is the 259 position of neutral layer, E is the Young's modulus. Assuming quasi-static process, we ²⁶⁰ arrive at the prediction **Reyssat2011**.

$$
\kappa = \frac{I_1 I_{\phi 0} - I_0 I_{\phi 1}}{I_1^2 - I_0 I_2}
$$

$$
I_0 = \int_0^h E \, dz, \quad I_1 = \int_0^h E z \, dz, \quad I_2 = \int_0^h E z^2 \, dz \tag{20}
$$

$$
I_{\phi 0} = \int_0^h E \epsilon_{\phi} \, dz, \quad I_{\phi 1} = \int_0^h E z \epsilon_{\phi} \, dz \tag{21}
$$

Under this model, curvature is dictated by water content. Richards equation can only 262 be used to predict normalized curvature Perez-Cruz2017. We follow the path and use ²⁶³ only maximum curvature to adjust this. It is seen that Richards equation fits the curling ²⁶⁴ phase really well, but cannot predict the uncurling phase (Fig. 2). Since at late time ²⁶⁵ the diffusivity approaches a constant, we can use Fick's law to approximate late time ²⁶⁶ behavior. We will use exponential function to approximate Fick's law **Reyssat2011**, 267 arrive at the prediction Reyssat2011.
 $\kappa = \frac{I_1I_{q3}}{I_1^2 - I_0I_2}$
 $I_0 = \int_0^b E \, dz, \quad I_1 = \int_0^b E \, dz, \quad I_2 = \int_0^b E \, z^2 \, dz$
 $I_0 = \int_0^b E \, dz, \quad I_3 = \int_0^b E \, z_0 \, dz$

Under this model, curvature is dictated by water con be used to predict inframized curvature **Firez-Cruzzor**? We boow the only maximum curvature to adjust this. It is seen that Richards equation phase really well, but cannot predict the uncurring phase (Fig. 2). Since the d

$$
\kappa(t) \approx C \frac{\epsilon}{h} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 Dt}{4h^2}} \tag{22}
$$

Where $C \approx 1.33$, D is the late time diffusivity. It is seen that it fit the data nicely. 268

Paper dimension is fixed to be $10x10mm$ in length and width, and 87.15m in thickness if 271 not specified. Paper thickness. By normalization of Richards equation, we see the time ²⁷² scale is (Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez-Gonzalez 2017), ²⁷³

$$
\tau = \frac{h^2}{D_0 \phi_{sat}}\tag{23}
$$

and the curvature scale is, 274

$$
\kappa = \frac{\epsilon_{sat}}{h} \tag{24}
$$

By simulation methods, we can deduce that the peak time and curvature is directly ²⁷⁵ proportional to the scales, 276

$$
t_{max} = 0.62\tau, \quad \kappa_{max} = 1.1\kappa \tag{25}
$$

Figure 15: Linear relationship with peak curvature and reciprocal of thickness.

Figure 16: Linear relationship between peak time and thickness squared.

2.5 Temperature and Evaporation 277

Temperature itself affects a lot of factors, including Young's modulus, surface tension, ²⁷⁸ viscosity, and evaporation rate. The absolute value of Young's modulus does not matter, ²⁷⁹ since we assumed a quasi-static process. For surface tension and viscosity, it is mentioned ²⁸⁰ that their ratio affects the diffusivity linearly. It is previously measured that temperature ²⁸¹

dependence of surface tension and viscosity of water can be described by the following ²⁸² formulae: ²⁸³

$$
\gamma = a \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c}\right)^b \left(1 - c \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c}\right)\right),\tag{26}
$$

285

 $a = 0.2358, \quad b = 1.256, \quad c = 0.625, \quad T_c = 647.15 \,\mathrm{K}, \quad 273.01 \,\mathrm{K} \leq T \leq 647 \,\mathrm{K}$

$$
\mu = Ae^{B/T} + CT + DT^2,\tag{27}
$$

 $A = 1.86 \times 10^{-14}$, $B = 4209$, $C = 0.04527$, $D = -3.38 \times 10^{-5}$, $273\,\mathrm{K} < T < 643\,\mathrm{K}$

Therefore we can plot diffusivity at different temperatures against their corresponding 286 ratios. The linear relationship is again seen, yet an apparent transition appears in the ²⁸⁷ middle. The uncurling speed, which is approximated by Fick's law, also has a similar ²⁸⁸ linear trend, but with no transition involved. Rising temperature also increases peak ²⁸⁹ curvature, which indicates yet another limitation of the theory. Curling speed is also ²⁹⁰ increased at high temperature, indicating the softening of paper. ²⁹¹

Figure 17: Linear relationship of diffusivity, obtained by peak time, between ratio of surface tension and viscosity, with a transition.

Figure 18: Linear relationship between diffusivity, obtained by uncurling speed, and ratio of surface tension and viscosity.

Figure 20: Relationship between curling speed and temperature. Curling speed obtained by averaging the derivative of curvature from start to peak time.

Moreover, it is observed that at high temperatures (50 degrees Celsius) the tracing ²⁹² paper will not uncurl to zero curvature. By setting a flux boundary condition on top in ²⁹³ the simulation, it is seen that a constant water content difference in the top and bottom ²⁹⁴ half layer of paper is reached at late time, and therefore curvature approaches a constant. 295 The precise value of the evaporation rate is measured and fitted with an exponential ²⁹⁶ function. It is well-known that the steady-state solution of Fick's law with flux boundary ²⁹⁷ conditions is linear in space, and by this distribution, the final value of curvature can be ²⁹⁸ proven to be: 299 Figure 20: Relationship between curling speed and temperature. Curling sp
by averaging the derivative of curvature from start to-peak time.

Moreover, it is observed that at high temperatures (50 degrees Celsiu

paper wi

$$
\kappa_{\text{final}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{sat}} q}{\theta_{\text{sat}} AD_0},\tag{28}
$$

which shows a linear dependence on evaporation rate. However, the experimental 300 results show a clear transition. We think, along with the transition previously seen, that ³⁰¹ it is related to the pore depth viewed from the top. The evaporation rate needs to be ³⁰² high enough for the water molecules to escape the deep pores. This fact is supported by 303 comparing the slope of the two lines. In high evaporation rates, the slope is closer to the ³⁰⁴ theory prediction, indicating less obstruction. 305

Figure 21: Curve of water content plotted against time. Simulated using $h =$ $87.15 \,\mu \mathrm{m}, \frac{q}{41}$ $\frac{q}{AD_0} = 0.01 \,\mu\mathrm{m}, \,\theta_{\mathrm{sat}} = 0.25.$ Water content of top and bottom are averages of upper half and lower half part of paper.

Figure 22: Relationship between final curvature and temperature.

Figure 23: Relationship of final curvature under different temperatures and their corresponding ratio of surface tension and viscosity.

3. Discussion-Salt Concentration 306

Salt concentration changes both viscosity and surface tension, and by assuming cylindrical 307 pores, formula (5), we see that the diffusivity is linearly related to the ratio $\frac{\gamma}{\mu}$. The 308 experimental data also supports this fact. Further investigations in contact angle may ³⁰⁹ reveal more about the average pore size and pore distribution, and how they can fix the ³¹⁰ cylindrical pore formula, which is clearly not the case for $n = 1.1$. It should be noted 311 that the model only predicts the peak curvature is determined by expansion and thickness ³¹² alone. Nevertheless, increasing salt concentration also increases the peak curvature. This ³¹³ cannot be explained by our theory alone, since salt concentration does not affect the ³¹⁴ maximum expansion, and thickness is controlled throughout the experiment. 315 Figure 23: Relationship of final curvature under different temperatures and
ponding ratio of surface tension and viscosity.

3. Discussion-Salt Concentration

Salt concentration

Salt concentration changes both viscosity

Figure 24: Linear relationship between diffusivity and ratio of surface tension and viscosity. This supports capillary theory, yet the description of slope need further improvements.

Figure 25: Peak curvature increases with salt concentration. This shows a limitation of the theory, which predicts little change in peak curvature.

Figure 26: Peak curvature change in salt concentration case is not due to expansion, since saturated expansion rate does not change with salt concentration.

4. Conclusions 316

Tracing paper will curl, reach a peak curvature, and uncurl when placed gently on water. ³¹⁷ The geometry of curling includes the curling direction, how many cylinders it produces and ³¹⁸ whether it will sink, and these properties are affected by anisotropy of expansion, release 319 angle and dimension. The dynamics of curling includes the peak time, peak curvature and ³²⁰ final curvature. Surfactant molecules cannot penetrate cellulose surface, so they do not ³²¹ affect the phenomenon. Peak time is affected by thickness of paper and the ratio of surface ³²² tension and viscosity. Peak curvature is determined by thickness of paper, which can be ³²³ predicted by theory, and salt concentration and temperature, which needs further study. ³²⁴ Final curvature shows dependence on evaporation, which is not considered in previous ³²⁵ $\text{study} \longrightarrow$ 326 2021 S. The geometry of curing includes the metal of paper with needs further size of paper with and the contentration curing the state of expective Award Science Award Science Award Science Award Science Award Science Aw Figure 26: Peak curvature change in salt concentration case-is not due to ex
saturated expansion rate does not change with salt concentration.

 4. Conclusions

Tracing paper will curl, reach a peak curvature, and unc

- [1] Klemm, D., Philipp, B., Heinze, T., Heinze, U., & Wagenknecht, W. (1998). Com- ³²⁹ prehensive cellulose chemistry: Volume 1: Fundamentals and analytical methods. 330 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH. ISBN:978-3-527-60192-9 331
- [2] Kjellgren, H. (2007). Influence of paper properties and polymer coatings on barrier ³³² properties of greaseproof paper (Doctoral dissertation, Karlstad University Studies, 333 2007:40). Karlstad University. ISBN:978-91-7063-144-3 ³³⁴
- [3] Huinink, Henk, Philip Ruijten, and Thomas Arends. (2016). Fluids in Porous Media: ³³⁵ Transport and Phase Changes. San Rafael, CA: Morgan Claypool Publishers. 336
- [4] Nissan, A. H. (1976). H-Bond Dissociation in Hydrogen Bond Dominated Solids. ³³⁷ $Macromolecules, 9(5), 840–850. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ma60053a026>.$
- [5] Penfold, J., Tucker, I., Petkov, J., & Thomas, R. K. (2007). Surfactant Adsorp- ³³⁹ tion onto Cellulose Surfaces. Langmuir, 23(16), 8357–8364. https://doi.org/10.1021/ 340 $a700948k.$ 341
- [6] Perez-Cruz, Angel, Ion Stiharu, and Aurelio Dominguez-Gonzalez. (2017a). Non- ³⁴² linear Imbibition Influence on the Hygro-Mechanical Bending Response of Paper ³⁴³ Due to Its Interaction with Water. International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, ³⁴⁴ 97 (December), 89–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2017.09.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2017.09.002) 345