1

2024 S.T. Yau High School Science Award (Asia)

Research Report

The Team

Registration Number: Phy-145

Name of team member: Lin, yong-zhang School: Kaohsiung Municipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool

Name of team member: Lo, ta- chao School: Kaohsiung Municipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool The ne of supervising teacher: Lu, Chart with the rest of supervising teacher: Lu, Chart with the rest of supervising teacher: Lu, Chart with the rest of supervising teacher: Lu, Chart with the supervising teacher Kaohsium School: Kaohsiung Municipal Kaohsiung Senior Highschool

Title of Research Report

Wetting tracing paper curling behavior and penetration of fiber porous media

Date

14 August 2024

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to appreciate to professor Yung-Yuan Hsu for iction action and action actio his guidance on this paper and for contributions to the construction of the experiment equipment.

Commitments on Academic Honesty and Integrity

We hereby declare that we

- 1. are fully committed to the principle of honesty, integrity and fair play throughout the competition.
- actually perform the research work ourselves and thus truly understand the content of the work.
- observe the common standard of academic integrity adopted by most journals and degree theses.
- 4. have declared all the assistance and contribution we have received from any personnel, agency, institution, etc. for the research work.
- 5. undertake to avoid getting in touch with assessment panel members in a way that may lead to direct or indirect conflict of interest.
- 6. undertake to avoid any interaction with assessment panel members that would undermine the neutrality of the panel member and fairness of the assessment process.
- observe the safety regulations of the laboratory(ies) where the we conduct the experiment(s), if applicable.
- 8. observe all rules and regulations of the competition.
- 9. agree that the decision of YHSA(Asia) is final in all matters related to the competition.

We understand and agree that failure to honour the above commitments may lead to disqualification from the competition and/or removal of reward, if applicable; that any unethical deeds, if found, will be disclosed to the school principal of team member(s) and relevant parties if deemed necessary; and that the decision of YHSA(Asia) is final and no appeal will be accepted.

(Signatures of full team below

Х Name of team member

X Name of team member

Name of team member:

Noted and endorsed by

(signature)

Name of supervising teacher:

虚改良

Name of school principal:



Contents

	1	Intr	roduction	3	5
		1.1	Observations:	3	6
		1.2	Pore and Fiber Aspect-Droplet Experiment	4	~
			1.2.1 Introduction	7	8
			1.2.2 Observations and Hydrophobic Nature of Tracing Paper	07	9
			1.2.3 Inner Hydrophilic Layer and Capillary Action	7	10
			1.2.4 Coating and Hydrophobicity Considerations	8	11
			1.2.5 Curling Direction-Fiber Orientation	9	12
			1.2.6 Cylinders Formation	12	13
	ი	0		19	
	4	Qua	2.0.1 Diffusion Model Discussion	10 19	14
			2.0.1 Diffusion Model Discussion	10 19	15
		9.1	Pichard's Derivation	15 16	16
		$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	More Limiting Case Diffusive Mathematical Formula	10 17	17
		2.2 9.3	Outline	17 20	18
		2.0	Parameters Discussion	20 20	19
		2.4	Temperature and Evaporation	$\frac{20}{21}$	20
		2.0		<i>4</i> 1	21
	3	Disc	cussion-Salt Concentration	26	22
	4	Con	nclusions	28	23
	5	Refe	erences	29	24
		C	D. O.K.		
	N	-			
0		•			
			\times		
		∞			
		$\langle \cdot \rangle$			
K	+				

Abstract

This study delves into the curling behavior of tracing paper when exposed to water, a phenomenon of interest due to its relevance in various applications involving fibrous materials. Tracing paper, treated with sulfuric acid for translucency, exhibits a distinct response to moisture characterized by an immediate curling followed by a gradual uncurling. Our research combines experimental techniques and theoretical models to investigate these processes. We utilized slow-motion videography to capture the paper's deformation and employed Gaussian curvature measurements alongside Richards' equation to model the dynamics of water absorption and evaporation.

Key findings reveal that the paper's rapid curling is driven by differential swelling across its surface, resulting in a pronounced cylindrical shape. This initial deformation is influenced by the paper's unique pore structure and the directional nature of its cellulose fibers. The uncurling phase, characterized by a slower, temperaturedependent relaxation, aligns with exponential decay, suggesting that evaporation and water diffusion within the paper are crucial factors. Notably, increasing the temperature accelerates both the curling and uncurling processes, enhancing peak curvature due to elevated diffusivity and evaporation rates.

Furthermore, the study explores the impact of saline solutions, discovering that higher salt concentrations lead to more pronounced curling. This is attributed to the salt's influence on the paper's mechanical properties and the rate of water absorption. These insights contribute to a nuanced understanding of how fibrous materials interact with water, particularly under varying environmental conditions.

Keywords: Capillary, Richard's Equation, Diffusion, Porous Media, Fiber,

48

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

 $\mathbf{2}$

1. Introduction

When a tracing paper is gently placed on a water surface, the paper rapidly curls and then 50 slowly uncurls. Investigating this phenomenon is significant as it provides insights into 51 the interactions between water and fibrous materials, specifically cellulose fibers. Tra-52 cing paper, which is often treated with sulfuric acid, has a dense, low-connectivity pore 53 structure. This structure influences how water penetrates and spreads within the mater-54 ial, showcasing the paper's physical and chemical properties during the wetting process. 55 Additionally, tracing paper is sensitive to humidity, which can further affect its interac-56 tion with water. Understanding this behavior has practical implications, especially in 57 evaluating how industrial fibrous papers with curl issues are affected by water interaction 58

1.1 Observations: Experimental Setup: Image: Tracing Paper Tracing Container Container Container

Figure 1: Here we use a container to hold the water. We suspend a nylon string directly on the surface of water to pin the tracing paper in place. When putting tracing paper, we lift the nylon string above the water and use tweezers to place the tracing paper under the string.

Preliminary Observation:

When the tracing paper was placed on the water's surface, it was observed that the paper ⁶² initially curled into a cylindrical shape before gradually and slowly uncurling. To better ⁶³ understand this phenomenon, the curvature of the tracing paper was analyzed over time. ⁶⁴

65



Figure 2: From the result we observed that

Analysis-Curvature

The curvature of tracing paper interacting with water was analyzed using a slow-motion 67 camera positioned parallel to the cylindrical shapes formed during curling. Gaussian 68 curvature ($\mathcal{K} = \kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2$) was used to describe the surface's curvature, where κ_1 and κ_2 are 69 the principal curvatures. As the paper curled into a cylindrical shape, it exhibited Zero 70 Gauss Curvature because one curvature was non-zero ($\kappa_1 \neq 0$), while the perpendicular 71 curvature was zero ($\kappa_2 = 0$). This results in a developable surface, characteristic of 72 cylindrical shapes. 73

1.2 Pore and Fiber Aspect-Droplet Experiment

Since tracing paper is a fibrous and porous material (celluouse fiber, we concern that the mechanism of capillary of capillary imbibition in two aspects: 76

To clarify that the capillary mechanism is in the result of whether pore or fiber concerns, we initially did an experiment (Droplet Experiment). Here, we use a pipetmen in 10μ m amount of water (We chose such a small amount of water specifically to minimize the influence of gravity) then drop on tracing paper, forming a droplet. Then use a digital microscope to observe the droplet on hydrophobic and hydrophilic concerns. 81

When a water droplet comes into contact with tracing paper, the contact angle ⁸² provides critical insight into the interaction between the water and the paper's fiber ⁸³ network. If the fibers are hydrophobic, the capillary action within the fiber web of the ⁸⁴ tracing paper is significantly reduced. This occurs because hydrophobic fibers repel wa-⁸⁵

66

ter, preventing the liquid from infiltrating the microstructures of the paper. As a result, ⁸⁶ the typical capillary forces that would otherwise draw water into the fiber network are ⁸⁷ absent, leading to minimal or no swelling and a lack of observable wrinkling. Conversely, ⁸⁸ if the fibers are hydrophilic, the capillary action is more pronounced, allowing water to be ⁸⁹ absorbed into the fiber network. This absorption induces swelling as the water infiltrates ⁹⁰ and expands the cellulose fibers, leading to noticeable wrinkling and deformation of the ⁹¹ paper. ⁹²



Figure 4



Figure 5: From fig(a) we can see that the 10 um droplet under digital microscope is hydrophobic. However, we still can see tracing paper swell after a period of time, in fig(b) below is a 10 ml of droplet on tracing paper after time, which can better show the description that mentioned. Also, we found out the curling direction of tracing paper when placed on water surface is always perpendicular to the direction of the wrinkle, which recalling to that curling direction is CD Direction that **1.2.5** discussed further.



Figure 6: Here we use sandpaper to rub tracing paper then again drop a water droplet diffuse after time

According to out experimental result, we qualitatively says that tracing paper is hydrophobic on the outer layer and hydrophilic in the inner layer. 94

Swelling Mechanism

1.2.1 Introduction

The swelling mechanism of tracing paper is a complex interplay between its hydrophobic 97 outer layer and hydrophilic inner layers. Initial water resistance is overcome through capillary action, allowing water to reach the absorbent cellulose fibers beneath the surface. 99 This process is primarily driven by pore sorption, suggesting that while the outer layer re-100 pels water, the inner structure's hydrophilicity leads to eventual swelling. Understanding 101 this mechanism, particularly the role of coatings and the dual-layer structure, is essential 102 for applications where the moisture resistance and swelling behavior of tracing paper are 103 critical factors. 104

1.2.2 Observations and Hydrophobic Nature of Tracing Paper

In recent droplet experiments conducted on tracing paper, a unique swelling mechanism 106 was observed that did not align with typical water absorption behaviors seen in other 107 cellulose-based papers. Both vertical and perpendicular applications of water droplets 108 revealed that the water front did not diffuse after a prolonged period, indicating a distinct 109 interaction between the tracing paper's surface and water. This behavior highlights the 110 hydrophobic characteristics of the outer layer of tracing paper, which plays a crucial role 111 in its initial resistance to swelling. 112

The hydrophobicity of tracing paper is primarily due to its outer layer, which has 113 been treated or coated to repel water. Hydrophobic surfaces typically resist water penet-114 ration, leading to delayed or minimal swelling. This behavior can be explained through 115 the mechanism of hydrogen bonding, which governs the interaction between water and 116 cellulose fibers. According to Klemm et al. (1998), the hydrogen bonds within cellulose 117 fibers are crucial in determining water absorption properties. In cellulose, the hydroxyl 118 groups form hydrogen bonds with water molecules, facilitating water absorption and sub-119 sequent swelling. However, when a hydrophobic coating is applied to the surface, these 120 interactions are significantly hindered, preventing immediate swelling upon contact with 121 water.

1.2.3 Inner Hydrophilic Layer and Capillary Action

Despite the initial resistance due to hydrophobicity, tracing paper does eventually swell 124 over time, indicating that its inner layers are hydrophilic. This delayed swelling suggests 125 that water penetration is not primarily due to the fibers themselves but rather through 126 capillary action within the pores of the paper. 127

This capillary action, driven by the pore sorption mechanism described by the Richardson equation, allows water to be drawn into the microscopic pores of the paper, bypassing the hydrophobic barrier. Initially, it was assumed that the swelling mechanism was dominated by fiber sorption, where water enters the fiber through parallel cylindrical capillaries, 131

7

96

105

leading to swelling. However, since tracing paper exhibits hydrophobic properties, this 132 fiber sorption mechanism appears insufficient. The hydrophobic nature suggests that 133 while the outer layer of the paper repels water, the inner layers may possess hydrophilic 134 characteristics. As water penetrates through capillary action into these inner layers, it 135 causes the cellulose fibers to absorb water, resulting in swelling.

137

1.2.4 Coating and Hydrophobicity Considerations

The hydrophobic nature of the outer layer in tracing paper is often a result of specific 138 treatments or coatings applied during its manufacturing. According to Kjellgren (2007), 139 the barrier properties of greaseproof paper, including tracing paper, are significantly in-140 fluenced by polymer coatings applied to enhance their resistance to moisture and grease. 141 These coatings contribute to the paper's hydrophobic characteristics, preventing water 142 from penetrating the surface and thus delaying swelling. However, once the water over-143 comes this barrier, likely through capillary action into the pores, it reaches the inner, 144 hydrophilic layers, where it can cause swelling.

1.2.5 Curling Direction-Fiber Orientation

In order to use a string to put the paper in place, we shall first predict in what axis the 147 paper will curl; otherwise, the string may affect its curling motion. We define the curling 148 direction as the axis of the cylinder formed by the tracing paper. It is seen by cutting the 149 piece of paper at different angles that the axis of scrolling is always parallel to one of the 150 original edges. (observation, Side A, B C will describe in Figure Two)

By observing the expansion of a piece of fully wet paper, we see that it displays an 152 apparent anisotropy in two perpendicular directions parallel to its original edges.

The direction with higher expansion corresponds to the Cross Direction (CD), which is 154 perpendicular to the fiber orientation direction, Machine Direction (MD) **DeRuvo1973**.) 155

These terms originate from the manufacture of paper. As intuition would suggest, the 156 height of the cylindrical shape produced by scrolling is perpendicular to CD, indicating 157 that the direction with higher expansion dominates the scrolling.



Figure 7: Cut an unaltered piece of paper direct from manufacture in different angles. Their axis of curling are all the same, parallel to the Side B. In this case, Side A corresponds to CD, Side B is MD.







Figure 8: The three graphs are that we tracking curvature of tracing paper in A,B,C directions under different thickness which in Fig.6 mentioned. From the experimental result of curvature to time we can found out two key insights. Firstly, from the three graph we all can found out that when thickness increases peak curvature decreases, peak time increases, which will be qualitatively explained in **parameters discussion** further. Secondly, from the three graphs we can also find that curvature with same thickness in different angle(A,B,C) is different, since tracing paper have been cut in different angle, the MD/CD length differs.



Figure 9: The saturated expansion rate (strain) of a 10x10mm piece of paper is found. It differs greatly in two perpendicular directions.

1.2.6 Cylinders Formation

Depending on different initial conditions, the geometry the tracing paper will produce 160 vary. If the CD length is less than the circumference produced by the peak curvature 161 reached, then the two ends of tracing paper will not touch, which we call the 0-scroll. 162 This case is the main phenomenon of study, since it is minimally affected by factors such 163 as friction. If the CD length is bigger than the threshold, then it will curl into a cylindrical 164 shape, dubbed 1-scroll. Whether it sinks at the peak curvature or not depends on whether 165 the gravity exceeds surface surface tension, and they are in turn related to the ratio of MD 166 and CD length and the peak curvature. If the paper is not released perfectly flat, then 167 the two ends will curl at a different time and amount, thus one end will curl and touch the 168 water, producing a scroll in opposite direction, and finally sink and uncurl underwater. If 169 the paper is released flat enough such that the two ends collide and force each other into 170 cylinders, it will form two cylinders, which we call the 2-scroll. 171



Figure 11: Failure Case

2. Quantitative

2.0.1 Diffusion Model Discussion

It is argued that capillary effect is not involved by showing that surfactants does not 174 affect the curvature (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011). However, it should be noted that 175 surfactant molecules cannot penetrate cellulose surfaces in aqueous solutions (Penfold et 176 al. 2007). Since surfactant molecules cannot affect the water that goes into the paper, the 177 capillary effects must not be ruled out. Moreover, we could see later (9) that the math-178 ematical representation of Fick's law is merely a limiting case of the Richards equation. 179 This suggests a broader view be obtained when capillary effect is considered, rather than 180 assuming a limiting case.

2.0.2 Capillary Model Discussion

The capillary model proposed by (Washburn 1921) is well-known and is applicable to 183 many cases of porous materials. However, (Reyssat and Mahadevan 2011) proposed that 184 this model failed to account for the whole phenomenon. Literature (Huinink, Ruijten, 185 and Arends 2016; Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez-Gonzalez 2017) shows that a key 186 assumption of the Washburn law is the binary distribution of water content in a porous 187 media, and we think this is why the model fails to explain the results. To improve on 188 this, (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016) proposed a model that accounts for the gradual 189 transition of water and air regime, allowing non-sharp boundary of water and air. 190

To begin the discussion of capillary model, describing how water moves in paper is 192 critical. To quantitatively describe how much water is in the paper at a specific location 193 z and time t, we follow (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016)volumetric water content as 194 the ratio of water and the total volume, 195

We define the volumetric water content as the ratio of water and the total volume 196 thebibliography.

198

191

$$\theta = \frac{V_{H_2O}}{V_{H_2O} + V_{cellulose}} \tag{1}$$

173



Figure 12: The coordinate axis of the paper. The origin of z axis is set at the water surface, and the direction is perpendicular to local tangent plane of paper.

Here, Fig.12 shows that the water content is dependent of z, but not in the x and y 199 axis of paper because of symmetry.

Water content is seen to linearly increase the strain of paper without producing recov-201 ering stress. According to Nissan1976, Young's modulus decreases exponentially with 202 volumetric water content. The decay coefficient may be different for various materials, 203 and it is fitted in our case to be -24.32.

$$E(\theta) = E_0 e^{-24.32\theta} \tag{2}$$

By capillary reasoning, Richards equation can be used to model water penetration 205 through porous media **Huinink2016**. 206

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = D_0 \frac{d}{dz} \left(\theta^n \frac{d\theta}{dz} \right) \tag{3}$$

in which D_0 is called "diffusivity" for convenience. It should be noted that D_0 is of 207 capillary nature, and there is no diffusion involved. The exponent *n* takes a value of, 208

$$n = 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda} \tag{4}$$

Where λ is the pore distribution index **Huinink2016**, which is smaller when the pore 209 sizes are near, we arrive that, 210

$$D = D_0 \theta^n, \quad n = 2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{5}$$

 D_0 can also be written explicitly, when cylendrical pores is assumed

$$D_0 = \frac{\gamma \cos \alpha r}{4\mu\lambda} \tag{6}$$

<u>_</u>

212

When trying to fit the experimental data, (Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez- 213 Gonzalez 2017) uses an exponential value of 1.1, which is contradictory against what (Huinink, Ruijten, and Arends 2016) had proposed. We think it may illuminate the fact that the assumption of cylindrical pore does not apply. 216

2.1 Richard's Derivation

The path of Huinink2016 would be followed to derive Richards equation. The law of 218 mass conservation is well-known, 219

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \tag{7}$$

Since paper is a porous media, Darcy's law applies,

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(\theta)}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial p(\theta)}{\partial x} + \rho g \hat{z} \right) \right) \tag{8}$$

Where k is the permeability involved in Darcy's law, μ is the liquid viscosity, p is the 227 pressure in the pore, ρ is the liquid density, g is the gravitational acceleration, \hat{z} is the 228 unit vector in the direction of gravity. It is known that the pressure can be written as 229

$$p = p_0 - p_c(\theta) \tag{9}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

Where p_0 is the equilibrium pressure of liquid and air, p_c is the pressure provided by 230 capillary action. Rewriting the equation and ignoring gravity, 231

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{k(\theta)}{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial p_c(\theta)}{\partial x} \right) \right)$$
(10)

233 234

235

Packing the coefficients, $\partial \theta = \partial \left(\partial \theta \right)$

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(D \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial z} \right) \tag{11}$$

236 237

238

240

241

With the value of D given by,

$$D = -\frac{k}{\mu} \frac{\partial p_c}{\partial \theta} \tag{12}$$

Given the simplified Brooks-Corey relationship,

$$p_c(\theta) = p_{c0}\theta^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \quad k(\theta) = k_{\max}\theta^{3+\frac{2}{\lambda}}$$
(13)

223

2.2 Mere Limiting Case-Diffusive Mathematical Formula

It is seen now that the diffusive mathematical formula(Fick's law) can be seen as a mere 243 limiting case of the Richards equation (10) at late time, when the water content is close 244 to saturation everywhere. 245

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = D_{\rm sat} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z^2}, \quad D_{\rm sat} = D_0 \theta_{\rm sat}^n$$

The boundary condition at the bottom (z=0) states that paper remain saturated in contact with water; at the top there may be effects of evaporation, which is not considered 249 significant given the time duration of the problem. However, we consider it critical as it 250 can account for the deviation for the uncurling phase. 251

$$\theta(0,t) = \theta_{sat}, \quad \frac{d\theta}{dz}(h,t) = \frac{q}{AD_0}$$
(15)

242

247

 $\theta_{sat} = 0.33$ is the saturated volumetric water content, h is the paper thickness, q is the 252 volumetric evaporation rate, A is the surface area of paper. θ_{sat} is measured by weighing 253 the paper before and after dipping in water, and converted to volumetric ratio by density 254 of water and paper, both measured in a short enough duration of time. 255



Figure 13: The geometry of curling paper.

For quantitative prediction of curvature, we consider the net strain (ϵ) and stress 256 (σ) produced by curvature being canceled by the strain introduced by water penetration 257 **Reyssat2011**.

$$\epsilon_{\kappa} = \kappa (z - z_n) \tag{16}$$

$$\epsilon_{\theta} = \epsilon_{sat} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{sat}} \tag{17}$$

$$\sigma = E(\epsilon_{\kappa} - \epsilon_{\theta}) \tag{18}$$

Where κ is the curvature, z is the position in the axis of paper thickness, z_n is the 259 position of neutral layer, E is the Young's modulus. Assuming quasi-static process, we 260 arrive at the prediction **Reyssat2011**.

$$\kappa = \frac{I_1 I_{\phi 0} - I_0 I_{\phi 1}}{I_1^2 - I_0 I_2}$$

$$I_0 = \int_0^h E \, dz, \quad I_1 = \int_0^h E z \, dz, \quad I_2 = \int_0^h E z^2 \, dz$$

$$I_{\phi 0} = \int_0^h E \epsilon_{\phi} \, dz, \quad I_{\phi 1} = \int_0^h E z \epsilon_{\phi} \, dz$$
(20)
(21)

Under this model, curvature is dictated by water content. Richards equation can only 262 be used to predict normalized curvature **Perez-Cruz2017**. We follow the path and use 263 only maximum curvature to adjust this. It is seen that Richards equation fits the curling 264 phase really well, but cannot predict the uncurling phase (Fig. 2). Since at late time 265 the diffusivity approaches a constant, we can use Fick's law to approximate late time 266 behavior. We will use exponential function to approximate Fick's law **Reyssat2011**, 267

$$\kappa(t) \approx C \frac{\epsilon}{h} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 D t}{4h^2}} \tag{22}$$

Where $C \approx 1.33$, D is the late time diffusivity. It is seen that it fit the data nicely. 268



274

2.3Outline



Parameters Discussion 2.4

Paper dimension is fixed to be 10x10mm in length and width, and 87.15m in thickness if 271 not specified. Paper thickness. By normalization of Richards equation, we see the time 272 scale is (Perez-Cruz, Stiharu, and Dominguez-Gonzalez 2017), 273

$$\tau = \frac{h^2}{D_0 \phi_{sat}} \tag{23}$$

and the curvature scale is

 $\kappa = \frac{\epsilon_{sat}}{h}$ (24)

By simulation methods, we can deduce that the peak time and curvature is directly 275 proportional to the scales, 276

$$t_{max} = 0.62\tau, \quad \kappa_{max} = 1.1\kappa \tag{25}$$



Figure 15: Linear relationship with peak curvature and reciprocal of thickness.



Figure 16: Linear relationship between peak time and thickness squared.

2.5 Temperature and Evaporation

Temperature itself affects a lot of factors, including Young's modulus, surface tension, 278 viscosity, and evaporation rate. The absolute value of Young's modulus does not matter, 279 since we assumed a quasi-static process. For surface tension and viscosity, it is mentioned 280 that their ratio affects the diffusivity linearly. It is previously measured that temperature 281

dependence of surface tension and viscosity of water can be described by the following ²⁸² formulae: ²⁸³

$$\gamma = a \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right)^b \left(1 - c \left(\frac{T_c - T}{T_c} \right) \right), \tag{26}$$

285

 $a = 0.2358, \quad b = 1.256, \quad c = 0.625, \quad T_c = 647.15 \,\mathrm{K}, \quad 273.01 \,\mathrm{K} \le T \le 647 \,\mathrm{K}$

$$\mu = Ae^{B/T} + CT + DT^2,$$

 $A = 1.86 \times 10^{-14}, \quad B = 4209, \quad C = 0.04527, \quad D = -3.38 \times 10^{-5}, \quad 273 \,\mathrm{K} \le T \le 643 \,\mathrm{K}$

Therefore we can plot diffusivity at different temperatures against their corresponding ²⁸⁶ ratios. The linear relationship is again seen, yet an apparent transition appears in the ²⁸⁷ middle. The uncurling speed, which is approximated by Fick's law, also has a similar ²⁸⁸ linear trend, but with no transition involved. Rising temperature also increases peak ²⁸⁹ curvature, which indicates yet another limitation of the theory. Curling speed is also ²⁹⁰ increased at high temperature, indicating the softening of paper. ²⁹¹



Figure 17: Linear relationship of diffusivity, obtained by peak time, between ratio of surface tension and viscosity, with a transition.



Figure 18: Linear relationship between diffusivity, obtained by uncurling speed, and ratio of surface tension and viscosity.





Figure 20: Relationship between curling speed and temperature. Curling speed obtained by averaging the derivative of curvature from start to peak time.

Moreover, it is observed that at high temperatures (50 degrees Celsius) the tracing 292 paper will not uncurl to zero curvature. By setting a flux boundary condition on top in 293 the simulation, it is seen that a constant water content difference in the top and bottom 294 half layer of paper is reached at late time, and therefore curvature approaches a constant. 295 The precise value of the evaporation rate is measured and fitted with an exponential 296 function. It is well-known that the steady-state solution of Fick's law with flux boundary 297 conditions is linear in space, and by this distribution, the final value of curvature can be 298 proven to be:

$$\mathbf{\mathcal{P}}_{\kappa_{\text{final}}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{sat}}q}{\theta_{\text{sat}}AD_0},\tag{28}$$

which shows a linear dependence on evaporation rate. However, the experimental 300 results show a clear transition. We think, along with the transition previously seen, that 301 it is related to the pore depth viewed from the top. The evaporation rate needs to be 302 high enough for the water molecules to escape the deep pores. This fact is supported by 303 comparing the slope of the two lines. In high evaporation rates, the slope is closer to the 304 theory prediction, indicating less obstruction. 305



Figure 21: Curve of water content plotted against time. Simulated using $h = 87.15 \,\mu\text{m}, \frac{q}{AD_0} = 0.01 \,\mu\text{m}, \theta_{\text{sat}} = 0.25$. Water content of top and bottom are averages of upper half and lower half part of paper.





Figure 23: Relationship of final curvature under different temperatures and their corresponding ratio of surface tension and viscosity.

3. Discussion-Salt Concentration

Salt concentration changes both viscosity and surface tension, and by assuming cylindrical 307 pores, formula (5), we see that the diffusivity is linearly related to the ratio $\frac{\gamma}{\mu}$. The 308 experimental data also supports this fact. Further investigations in contact angle may 309 reveal more about the average pore size and pore distribution, and how they can fix the 310 cylindrical pore formula, which is clearly not the case for n = 1.1. It should be noted 311 that the model only predicts the peak curvature is determined by expansion and thickness 312 alone. Nevertheless, increasing salt concentration also increases the peak curvature. This 313 cannot be explained by our theory alone, since salt concentration does not affect the 314 maximum expansion, and thickness is controlled throughout the experiment. 315



Figure 24: Linear relationship between diffusivity and ratio of surface tension and viscosity. This supports capillary theory, yet the description of slope need further improvements.



Figure 25: Peak curvature increases with salt concentration. This shows a limitation of the theory, which predicts little change in peak curvature.



Figure 26: Peak curvature change in salt concentration case is not due to expansion, since saturated expansion rate does not change with salt concentration.

4. Conclusions

Tracing paper will curl, reach a peak curvature, and uncurl when placed gently on water. ³¹⁷ The geometry of curling includes the curling direction, how many cylinders it produces and ³¹⁸ whether it will sink, and these properties are affected by anisotropy of expansion, release ³¹⁹ angle and dimension. The dynamics of curling includes the peak time, peak curvature and ³²⁰ final curvature. Surfactant molecules cannot penetrate cellulose surface, so they do not ³²¹ affect the phenomenon. Peak time is affected by thickness of paper and the ratio of surface ³²² tension and viscosity. Peak curvature is determined by thickness of paper, which can be ³²³ predicted by theory, and salt concentration and temperature, which needs further study. ³²⁴ Final curvature shows dependence on evaporation, which is not considered in previous ³²⁵ study.



- Klemm, D., Philipp, B., Heinze, T., Heinze, U., & Wagenknecht, W. (1998). Com- 329 prehensive cellulose chemistry: Volume 1: Fundamentals and analytical methods. 330 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH. ISBN:978-3-527-60192-9
- [2] Kjellgren, H. (2007). Influence of paper properties and polymer coatings on barrier 332 properties of greaseproof paper (Doctoral dissertation, Karlstad University Studies, 333 2007:40). Karlstad University. ISBN:978-91-7063-144-3 334
- [3] Huinink, Henk, Philip Ruijten, and Thomas Arends. (2016). Fluids in Porous Media: 335 Transport and Phase Changes. San Rafael, CA: Morgan Claypool Publishers. 336
- [4] Nissan, A. H. (1976). H-Bond Dissociation in Hydrogen Bond Dominated Solids. 337
 Macromolecules, 9(5), 840–850. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma60053a026. 338
- [5] Penfold, J., Tucker, I., Petkov, J., & Thomas, R. K. (2007). Surfactant Adsorp- 339
 tion onto Cellulose Surfaces. *Langmuir*, 23(16), 8357–8364. https://doi.org/10.1021/ 340
 la700948k. 341
- [6] Perez-Cruz, Angel, Ion Stiharu, and Aurelio Dominguez-Gonzalez. (2017a). Non- 342 linear Imbibition Influence on the Hygro-Mechanical Bending Response of Paper 343 Due to Its Interaction with Water. International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, 344 97(December), 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2017.09.002.